Wistorische Witeraturs Welustigungen.

Darinnen

forafaltige Beschreibungen allerhand merkwurdiger und rarer

numisinatischer Berte, Duffatze, Berfteigerungs Berzeichniße und verschiedener Bemertungen

die im XVIII. Jahrhundert im Oruct außerhalb des Rußischen Rayserreiches erschienen, die sich auf

rußische Mungen, MEDAILLEN und Geldumlauf betreffen,

und jetzo in einer PrivatiSammlung

ÍΠ

Philadelphia befinden.

SVPPLEMENTVM III.



ИСТОРИЧЕСКІЯ НУМИЗМАТИЧЕСКІЯ ЛИТЕРАТУРНЫЯ РАЗВЛЕЧЕНІЯ,

содержащія

піщательно составленныя описанія всяких в необыкновенных в и радких в нумизматических в трудов в, статей, аукціонных в каталогов в и разных в замъток в появившихся в XVIII в в в в печати поза границами Россійской Имперіи, которыя им в отношеніе к в

русскимЪ МонепіамЪ, МедалямЪ и Денежному обращенїю и нынЪ находящії яся вЪ часпіной коллекції и

вЪ Филадельфїи.

HISTORIC & NVMISMATIC REMARQUES

which contain

carefully collected and described various curious and rare numismatic works, articles, auction catalogues and pertinent observations which appeared in print in the XVIII century outside the borders of the Ruffian Empire, that pertain to Ruffian Coins, Medals and Monetary Circulation and are now conserved in a private collection

in Philadelphia.

SVPLEMENTVM III.

Philadelphia, Octobei 2012.

Number of copies printed as of this date: ten in color only.

Text was set in Word for Windows 2000. Special German and Russian XVIII century type fonts were developed with Fontographer 4.1 using text in Köhler's «Münzbelustigung» and Mysei Imperialis Petropolitani as samples. All plates were scanned in RGB at 150 dpi using Epson Perfection 4180 PHOTO scanner, retouched in Photoshop 7.0 and printed with Epson Stylus COLOR 3000 at 1440 dpi and HP LaserJet IV at 600 dpi.

Zur Erinnerung

an den Sochwohlgebohrnen

STRRU

21. 21. Stachowitsch

1884=1959

den eifrigen

Forscher und Sammler

Ser Medaillen

pon

Peter dem Großen

und

seiner Zeit.

BIOGRAPHIES.

Joachim Negelein, 1675—1749.

A biographical summary.



Joachim Negelein was born in Nürnberg on 9 Sept. 1675 of poor parents. His father Abraham, was a tailor and Joachim appears to have been his and his wife's Eva the only son. In his early childhood he has shown a remarkable keenness towards learning and in his early teens he already tutored children of parents of better means. At 14 he already was asked to act as a substitute teacher of Latin while all the time attending free or for small fee lectures and seminaria of noted professors in the Nürnberg area studying theology, philosophy and especially languages. Later he managed to attend the university of Altdorf near Nürnberg, where he participated in learned debates and dialogues in Latin and Greek. In 1697 he attained degree of Dr. of Theology and at the same time a unique academic recognition as a poet in Latin and German languages. As a culminating event in his life was that during the swearing-in ceremony of Emperor Charles the VI in 1712, Negelein was selected to present the Emperor with his welcoming poem. On this occasion several special medals were struck with dies cut by G.W. Vestner and P. H. Müller (P.H.M.). See F. Bernheimer, Nrs. 33, 35.

While still in his late teens, Negelein was selected as a private tutor by a wealthy family, which shortly thereafter sent him and his patrician student on a European tour, which included England. While there he attended parliament session where he shortly caught a glance of the king. He visited learned societies, the Oxford university and the Bodleian library. Before leaving England, he received numerous presents and gifts of scientific nature from the Oxford University and other scientific societies. Upon his return to Europe he continued his visiting rounds of historical sites and especially known theologians and men of science. In Nov. 1701 he returned to Nürnberg where various important positions awaited him in religious and charitable organization. At the same time he also taught classical Greek and for his literary enjoyment he joined the Pegnitz Floral Society (a literary society founded in 1644 — VA) of Nürnberg where he quickly rose in rank and stature. He held theological seminars, frequently was called to deliver sermons by several churches, where he was an honorary deacon. He was married twice and had 12 children by his first wife, all died at an early age and three other children by his second wife. One daughter grew up to be a well educated woman, but died in 1746 three years before her father succumbed from a heart stroke 24 June 1749.

His friend and colleague medalist Andreas Vestner, for whom Negelein composed numerous sketches for his medals, struck one in his honor and presented it to him while Negelein was still alive.

In Russian numismatics of the XVIII century Negelein has a special place. At the Easter Fair in Leipzig in 1710 he announced his forthcoming publication dealing with XVIII century medals which appeared in Nürnberg a year later under the title «Thesaurus Numismatum modernorum...» or Commemorative Medals of the XVIII cent in folio covering the first decade. It was being published piecemeal and beginning with the first and third issues which appeared in 1711 and 1712 for medals respectively for the years 1700 and 1702, it contained Russian medals or those struck abroad with relevance to Russia. In the ninth issue, appearing in 1715 for medals struck for events of 1708 we see the first medal attributed to Müller struck on Peter I victory at Leesno. In the last 11th issue appearing in 1717 with medals for the year 1709 we find two Müller medals one marked with P.H.M. and the other with just M. After a break of tree years in 1720 appeared the first issue for the second decade of that century covering medals struck on events which occurred in 1710. It contained seven medals on Russian victories in the Baltic all marked with M. Over the years Negelein published 23 Russian medals or with relevance to Russian history, not counting the Swedish Rossica. Had Negelein continued his publication to the end of the reign of Peter I, this would have been an epoch making event. However it was interrupted with year 1710 and decades will pass before Russian medals will appear in XVIII century publications, but not in such numbers or in such quality of descriptions and engravings.

Thus, the history of Russian numismatics should recognize Negelein and his close friends in Augsburg Ph. H. Müller (P.H.M.) and his son Christian Ernst (1696-1776), who cut dies for all medals marked with letter M, as the first three who created an important historical suite of medals on the deeds and victories of Peter the Great of high artistic value and published with politically neutral descriptions and superb engravings. As a special and unique feature of the Thesaurus is that Negelein translated numerous Latin legends not in plain prose but in short poems.

I hope this presentation will be read and find resonance in Russia, and that all three men, especially Joachim Negelein, will find a deserving high place in Russian numismatics of the XVIII century.

Samples of Negelein's Latin translations:

The following illustrates this unique aspect of Thesaurus... On a P. H. Müller medal on Russian victory at Poltava in 1709, page 905, the Latin legend reads: HIC HONOR IN NOBIS INVIDIOSVS ERIT Ovid., which in plain German, English and Russian would be:

Sie werden unserem Ruhm neidisch sein.

They will be envious of our fame.

Намъ позавидуютъ въ сей славъ. /Nam pozaviduyut v sei slave.

However Negelein must have felt to be too bored with this plain text and put in the following verse:

Wird schon der Reid sich noch so

hefftig grämen/

Er kan uns doch die Ehr des

Siens nicht nehmen.

for which I would offer the reader my following English and Russian interpretations:

Should the envy feel sad and down

It still cannot take from us the victory we own.

Скорбитъ и плачетъ вражья рать

Но побъды нашей ей не отобрать.

On reverse the Latin legend reads: POLTAVA MIRA CLADE INSIGNIS which in plain German, English and Russian would read:

Poltawa durch wunderbaren Sieg bekannt gemacht.

Miraculous victory made Poltava famous.

Полтава славна чудеснымъ пораженіемъ.

But put in verse it reads:

Sier ist Pultava / so die berühmte Schlacht und grosse Niederlag der Welt bekannt gemacht.

for which I dare to offer the following free translations:

Here is Poltava's battle great whose victory the world must not forget. Вотъ Полтава и о ея слвной побъдъ Всему міру повъде.

Description of the medal (after Im Hof):

Eine Medaille, reichlich neunter Grösse, auf Joachim Negelein, ohene Jahrzal.

Die V. S. zeiget das linksgekehrte Brustbild, Joachim Negeleins, im Profil, mit runder Peruque, in geistlicher Kleidung, mit Mantel, und einem glatten Kraegelein. Auf dem Abschnitt des rechten Armes stehet V. das ist: der Nahme des Medailleurs, Vestner. Unter dem Arme aber stehet C. PR. S. C. M. das ist: Cum privilegio sacrae caesreae maiestatis. Die rechts anfangende Umschrift heist:/

IOCHIMVS NEGELEIN.

Zu aeusserst ist ringsherum ein doppelter glatter Zirkel. / Die R. S. enthält in vierzehen Zeilen folgende Auffschrift / NORIMB.
NAT. D. 9. SEPT. 1675.
IBIDEM
1702. ORPH. CATECH. PRIMVS
AC AED. DOM. CONCION. MERID.
AB 1703.
AD SPIR. S. ET LAVR DIACONVS
1720. AED. B. V. ET DOMIN. PASTOR
1724. ORAT. POES. ET GR. L. PR. P.
1732. AD D. LAVR. ANTISTES
MIN. CAND. INSPECTOR
SOCIET.FLOR. PEGNES. PRAESES.
IN MANIBVS IEHOVAE
SORTES MEAE.

Das ist: Norimbergae natus, die 9. Septembris 1675. ibidem 1702. orphanotropii catecheta primus, ac aedis Dominicanorum concionator meridianus, ab 1703. ad Spiritum sanctum et Laurentium Diaconus, 1720. aedis beatae uirginis et dominicanorum pastor, 1724. oratoriae, poeseos et graecae lingquae Professor publicus, 1732 ad diuum Laurentium Antistes, Ministerii Canditatorum Inspector. Societis florigerae Pegnesiacae Praeses. In manibus Iehouae fortes meae. Oben ist eine Zierrath, wie eine vierblätterte Blume, und unten ist ein Roesgen. Zu aeusserts ist ringsherum ein doppelter Zirkel,

Full size nine (40 mm) medal on Joachim Negelein without year.

The Av. shows in profile Joachim Negelein's bust turned to the left, in round perruque dressed in clerical coat and a plain collar. In truncation of the right arm letter V, which is the name of the medalist Vestner. Below the arm C. PR. S. C. M. which means: Cum privilegio sacre caesreae maiestatis. (With sacred privilege of His Majesty the King). The legend starting on the right reads:

IOCHIMVS NEGELEIN.

To the outside a plain double ring.

Rev. in fourteen lines shows the following text: / See above /.

That is: Born in Nürnberg on 9. September 1675. 1702. was named to conduct the first sunday reading of catechism at the orphanage, and was named Dominican noon preacher 1703. Deacon at the church of the Holy Spirit, 1709 at St. Lorenz, and 1720 Dominican sheppard of the Blessed Virgin church, 1724. professor of oratory, poetry and Greek language, 1732 preacher at the church of St. Lorenz and inspector of candidates to the preacher's position. President of the Pegnitz Flower Society. In strong hands of my Jehova. Above a four leaf ornament and below a small rose. On the outside is a double ring.

Negelein's Works.

While most of Negelein's works found in the libraries are of theological nature such as learned sermons and eulogies, his numismatic publications although few, are outstanding. The famous Dr. Johann Köhler, the author of the «Historische Numismatische Belustigungen» 1729-1756 once said about Negelein's primary work Thesaurus Numismatum modernorum...: "which stopped its publication in 1720 with the 11th issue: "The future generation will be amazed that such a noble and useful work was abandoned and instead one used paper, time and cost for totally useless purposes".

1. Vorbericht von einem Werke: Historia Seculi XIIX Numismatum. Nürnberg 1710. 4°.

2. Thesaurus numismatum modernorum hujus seculi. 1700-1710. Nürnberg 1711-1720, fol. Dieß überall gerühmte Werk, worinnen Herr Negelein nach der Sammlung Hn. Melch. Körnleins, der in dem Schauamte zu Nürnberg bedient war, fast tausend moderne Münzen lateinisch und deutsch beschrieben, hat zu jedermans Bedaurn mit dem 11ten Jahre aufgehöret. Der seel Köhler sagte deswegen: unsere Nachkommen werden erstaunen, daß man ein solches edles und nutzbares Werk hat liegen lassen und hingegen Papier. Zeit und Kosten mit vielem andern elenden Geschmiere verschwendet und verderbet hat.

3. Einleitung zur Medaillen oder Münz-Wissenschafft, zum Unterricht für diejenige, welche zu einer gründlichen Erkenntnus so wohl der Antiquen, als Modernen Münzen gelangen wollen... aus dem Französischen ins Teutsche übersetzet... Nürnberg 1738 in 8vo. Von diesem berühmten Werk von Jobert *La Science des Medailles*, Paris1692, hat Negelein die zweite Paris 1716 Ausgabe übersetzt aber ohne seinen Namen beizugeben.

Sources.

Will, G. A., Nürnbergisches Gelehrten-Lexicon oder Beschreibung aller Nürnbergischen Gelehrten... Theil III. N-S. Nürnberg 1757, S. 12-

Will, G. A., Eine schöne Medaille auf den berühmten und verdienten Herrn Joachim Negelein. Nürnbergische Münz-Belustigungen, fünftes Stück, 31. Jenner 1767, S. 33-40. ill.

Forster, A. von, — Die Erzeugnisse der Stempelschneidekunst in Augsburg und Ph. H. Müller's nach meiner Sammlung beschrieben und die Augsburger Stadtmünzen, Kommissionsverlag von Karl. W. Hiersemann, Leipzig 1910 mit Nachtrag 1914.

Bernheimer, Francisca, — Georg Wilhelm Vestner und Andreas Vestner. Zwei Nürnberger Medailleure. Dissertation. München 1984.

Werner, Gerlund, — "Philipp Heinrich Müller", Neue Deutsche Biographie, Bd. 18. (1997) auch am Internet.

Щукина, Е. С., й Два века русской медали. Медальерное искусство в России 1700 — 1917 гг. Государственный Эрмитаж. Москва 2000. Two centuries of Mdallic art in Russia 1700—1917. State Hermitage. Moscow 2000.

Das Laufferische Medaillen-Cabinet oder Verzeichniss aller Medaillen, welche sowohl die historischen Begebenheiten von A. 1679. bis A. 1742 als auch andere Christlich- und Moralische Betrachtungen, nebst der vollkommenen Reihe der Römischen Päbste enthalten. Und noch itzo beständig zu finden, in Nürnberg bey Caspar Gottlieb Lauffern, des Hoch-Löbl. Fränkischen Kreises Münz-Rath und General-Münz-Wardain, Nürnberg 1742.

Im Hof, Cristoph Andreas IV, von und zu Helmstatt, — Sammlung eines Nürnbergischen Münz-Cabinets, welches mit vieler Mühe so vollstaendig, als moeglich, in wenig Jahren zusammengetragen, und sodann auf das genausete beschrieben worden. Nürnberg 1782, S. 846.

> В. Арефьевъ Филадельфія, іюль 2012.

Іоахимъ Негеляйнъ, 1675-1749.

Біографическое резюме.

Іоахимъ Негеляйнъ родился въ Нюренбергъ 9 сентября 1675 г. въ бъдной семьъ. Его отецъ Авраамъ былъ портнымъ и Іоахимъ, судя по всему, былъ его и его жены Евы, единственный сынъ. Въ раннемъ дътствъ онъ проявилъ замъчательныя способности къ обученію и еще будучи подросткомъ уже быль домашнимъ учителемъ дѣтей у бол ъе богатыхъ родителей. Въ 14 лътъ ему уже было предложено мъсто замъны преподавателя латинскаго языка, но въ то же время онъ посъщаль и бесплатныя или за малую плату семинары и лекціи извъстныхъ профессоровъ, происходившіе вблизи Нюренберга. Онъ изучаль теологію, философію и особенно интересовался изученімь европейскихь языковь. Спустя ему удалось поступить въ находящійся невдалик в Нюренберга Альтдорфскій университеть, гдя онъ принималь участіе въ научныхъ дискуссіяхъ и діалогахъ на латинскомъ и древне-греческомъ языкахъ. Въ 1697 году онъ получилъ степень доктора теологіи и одновременно въ академическихъ кругахъ и уникальное признаніе его какъ поэта латинскаго и нъмецкаго языковъ. Кульминаціоннымъ событіемъ въ этой области его жизни было то, что во время присяги Императора Карла VI въ Нюренбергѣ въ 1712 г., Негеляйнъ быль выбранъ чтобы представить Императору привътственное стихотвореніе. По этому случаю были отчеканены медали совмъстной работы Г.В. Вестнера и Ф. Г. Мюллера (P.H.M.), cm.: Bernheimer, NºNº 33 и 35.

Еще будучи позднимъ подросткомъ Негеляйнъ получилъ мѣсто въ качествѣ частнаго репетитора единственнаго сына въ богатой семьъ, которая вскоръ отправила его и его новаго студента въ туръ по Европъ, включая и Англію. Пребывая въ Англіи, онъ посътиль парламентъ гдъ ему даже посчастливелось увидътъ короля. Далъе онъ посъщаетъ научныя общества, Оксфордскій университеть и Бодлеанскую библіотеку и передъ отъвздомъ получиль множество важную для науки подарковь отъ университета и разныхъ обществъ. Вернувшись на континентъ онъ продолжаль оглядывать историческія достопамятности и посъщаеть особенно извъстныхъ богослововъ и ученыхъ. Въ 1701 г. онъ со своимъ ученикомъ вернулись въ Нюрнбергъ, гдъ его ожидали важныя должности въ разныхъ религіозныхъ и благотворительных в организаціях в. Одновременно он в преподаваль в в Альтдорфском в университет в древне-греческій языкъ, а для личнаго удовольствія вступиль въ нюренбергское общество Пегницкихъ Пастушковъ (литерературное общество, осн. 1644 — ВА), гдъ онъ быстро возвысился по рангу и статусу. Негеляйнъ проводилъ богословскія семинары и

часто быль приглашень читать проповеди въ рядъ церквей, гдъ онъ состояль почетнымъ дьякономъ.

Онъ былъ дважды женатъ и отъ первой жены имълъ 12 дътей, но всъ онъ умерли въ раннемъ возрастъ и трое дочерей отъ второй супруги. Одна дочь была хорошо образованная женщина, но скончалась въ 1746 году за три года до того, когда и ея отецъ отъ сердечнаго инсульта оставитъ сей миръ 24 іюня 1749 г.

Его другъ и коллега медальеръ Андреасъ Вестнеръ также житель Нюренберга, для котораго Негеляйнъ исполнилъ многочисленныя экскизы медалей, еще при жизни Негеляйна выръзалъ и отчеканилъ въ его честь медаль.

Въ русской нумизматикъ XVIII въка Негеляйнъ занимаетъ особое мъсто. Въ 1710 году на Пасхальной Ярмаркъ въ Лейпцигъ онъ оповъстиль о прдстоящемъ выпускъ сборника медалей XVIII стольтія, который увидъль свъть въ Нюренбергъ въ 1711 году подъ заглавіемъ Thesaurus Numismatum modernorum или Памятныя медали перваго десятильтія XVIII въка in folio. И уже начиная съ третьяго выпуска въ 1712 г. съ медалями на 1702 годъ появляются на страницахъ сего Тесауреса какъ русскія такъ и исполненныя за рубежомъ на русскія темы медали, а съ девятаго выпуска 1715 г. и первая медаль на 1708 г. Мюллеровской серіи на сраженіе при Лъсномъ, но безъ обозначенія медальера. Въ послъднемъ одиннадцатомъ выпускъ 1717 года съ медалями на 1709 годъ было издано уже 2 Мюллеровскія медали помъченныя одна Р.Н.М. а другая М. Съ промъжуткомъ въ три года вышел въ 1720 г. 1-ый номеръ новой серіи на второе десятильтіе XVIII выка съ медалями 1710 года. Въ немъ издано 7 русскихъ медалей мюллеровской серіи всь съ помъткой въ рукавъ буквы М. Всего на русскія темы или русскихъ медалей въ Тесауресъ было издано 23 не считая шведскую Россику. Продолжай онъ свои изданія до конца царствованія Петра І, это для русской медалистики было бы эпохальнымъ событіємъ. Но этого не случилось и пройдутъ десятильтія преждъ чемъ русскія медали вновь появятся на страницахъ изданій XVIII вѣка, но уже не въ такомъ объемѣ и такомъ хронологическомъ порядкъ или такомъ художественномъ исполненіи.

Такъ, въ исторіи русской нумизматики Негеляйна и его другихъ близкихъ аугсбургскихъ знакомымхъ Ф. Х. Мюллера и его сына Христіана Эрнста (1696—1776), исполнившаго всѣ медали помѣченныя иниціалами М слѣдутъ зачислить къ первымъ тремъ, которые создали важную историческую серію медалей на дѣла и завоеванія Петра Великаго такъ изыскано опубликованыхъ въ Тесаурисѣ съ четкимъ политически нейтральнымъ описаніемъ и художественно исполненными гравюрами. Въ качествѣ дополнительной уникальной особенностью автора Негеляйна это рѣшеніе перевести нѣкоторыя латинскія надписи на Мюллеровскихъ русскихъ медаляхъ не въ прозѣ, а въ короткихъ стихахъ.

Если вышеизложенное будетъ прочитано и найдетъ отголосокъ въ Россіи, то я буду считатъ свое заданіе исполненнымъ и эти три человъка, особенно Іоахимъ Негеляйнъ, займутъ заслуженное ими мъсто какъ первенцы ея развитія.

Образцы перевода Негеляйномъ латинскихъ легендъ:

Ниже приводятся образцы перевода латинскихъ легендъ на медали Р. Н. Müller на побъду подъ Полтавой въ 1709 году, въ Thesaurus... стр. 905: HIC HONOR IN NOBIS INVIDIOSVS ERIT Ovid., которая въ простомъ переводъ на нъмецкій, англійскій и русскій языкъ гласитъ:

Sie werden unserem Ruhm neidisch sein. They will be envious of our fame. Намъ позавидуютъ въ сей славъ.

Но Негеляйнъ предалъ ей поэтическую форму перевевъ какъ:

Wird schon der Meid sich noch so hefftig grämen/ Er kan uns doch die Ehr des Siens nicht nehmen.

которую я дерзнулъ перевести на англійскій и русскій языкъ какъ:

Should the envy feel sad and down
It still cannot take from us the victory we own.
Скорбитъ и плачетъ вражья рать
Но побъды нашей ей не отобрать.

Легенда оборотной стороны гласить: POLTAVA MIRA CLADE INSIGNIS смыслъ которой можно перевести какъ:

Poltawa durch wunderbaren Sieg bekannt gemacht. Miraculous victory made Poltava famous. Полтава славна чудеснымъ пораженіемъ.

и въ рифмѣ по-нѣмецки какъ:

Sier ist Pultava / so die bers ühmte Schlacht und grosse Viederlag der Welt bekannt gemacht.

или въ свободномъ переводъ:

Here is Poltava's battle great whose victory the world must not forget. Вотъ Полтава и о ея слвной побъдъ Всему міру повъде.

Описаніе медали въ честь Негеляна (согласно Имъ Гофу):

Медаль Іоахиму Негеляйну полнаго девятаго размъра (40 мм), безъ года. На лиц. сторонъ погрудное профильное изображеніе Негеляйна обращенное вправо въ кругломъ парикъ въ одъждъ духовнаго лица съ простымъ воротничкомъ. Въ обръзъ праваго плеча V, которое обозначаетъ иниціалъ медальера Vestner. Ниже плеча С. PR. S. C. M. что значитъ Cum privilegio sacre саезагеае maiestatis. или Со священной привелигіей Его Императорскаго Величества. Начанющаяся слъва надпись:

IOCHIMVS NEGELEIN.

Обр. сторона: Вверху цвѣтокъ о четырехъ лепесткахъ, а внизу розетка. Вдоль окружности двойное кольцо. По серединѣ четырнадцатистрочная надпись (текстъ см. выше подъ англ. частью), которая означаетъ: Родился въ Нюрнбергѣ 9 сентярбря 1675 года. 1702 призванъ прочитать первый воскресный катехизисъ въ сиротскомъ домѣ. Возведенъ въ чинъ доминиканскаго проповѣдника 1703 въ храмѣ Святаго Духа. Въ 1709 при храмѣ Св. Лаврентія, а 1720 пастыремъ при храмѣ Дѣвы Маріи. 1732 проповѣдникъ при храмѣ Св. Лаврентія и инспекторъ кандидатовъ на постъ проповѣдниковъ. 1724 профессоръ краснорѣчія, поэзіи и греческаго языка. Президентъ Общества Пегницкихъ Пастушковъ. Въ крѣпкихъ рукахъ Господнихъ.

Хотя большинство трудовъ Негелянъ находящихся въ библіотекахъ богословскаго характера, такіе какъ ученые проповеди или рѣчи произнесѣнныя при торжествахъ или захороненіяхъ, лишъ немногія изъ нихъ написаны на нумизматическую тему. Знаменитый Іоаганнъ Келлеръ, авторъ популярнаго журнала «Historische Nmismatische Belustigung» 1729-1756, однажды такъ высказался о трудѣ Негеляйна Thesaurus Numismatum, № 2 внизу, которое прекратило свое изданіе въ 1720 году на 12-омъ выпускѣ: «Будущее поколѣніе будетъ удивлено тѣмъ, что такая благородная и полѣзная работа была прервана, а вмѣсто нѣя затрачены были бумага, время и деньги на что-то совершенно бесполѣзное». Послѣднимъ, кто также интересовался медалями въ частности и русскими, также сожалѣвшій о прекращеніи Тесауреса, былъ Карлъ Ленгнихъ изъ Данцига, высказываясь объ этомъ въ одномъ изъ своихъ нумизматическихъ изданіяхъ 1780/90-хъ годовъ.

^{1.} Vorbericht von einem Werke: Historia Seculi XIIX Numismatum. Nürnberg 1710. 4°.

2. Thesaurus numismatum modernorum hujus seculi. Nürnberg (s.a.) 1711-1717, fol. Dieß überall gerühmte Werk, worinnen Herr Negelein nach der Sammlung Hn. Melch. Körnleins, der in dem Schauamte zu Nürnberg bedient war, fast tausend moderne Münzen lateinisch und deutsch beschrieben, hat zu jedermans Bedaurn mit dem 11ten Jahre aufgehöret. Der seel Köhler sagte deswegen: unsere Nachkommen werden erstaunen, daß man ein solches edles und nutzbares Werk hat liegen lassen und hingegen Papier, Zeit und Kosten mit vielem andern elenden Geschmiere verschwendet und verderbet hat.

 Numismata Historica Anni MDCCX. Nürnberg (s.a.) 1720, fol.
 Einleitung zur Medaillen oder Münz-Wissenschafft, zum Unterricht für diejenige, welche zu einer gründlichen Erkenntus so wohl der Antiquen, als Modernen Münzen gelangen wollen... aus dem Französischen ins Teutsche übersetzet... Nürnberg 1738 in 8vo. Von diesem berühmten Werk von Jobert La Science des Medailles, Paris1692, hat Negelein die zweite Paris 1716 Ausgabe übersetzt aber ohne seinen Namen beizugeben.

1. Проспектъ изданія труда: Исторія XVIII стольтія въ медаляхъ. Нюренбергъ 1710, $4^{\rm O}$

2. Сокровищница медалей сего столътія или памятныя медали на разныя событія чеканенныя начиная съ 1700 г... Нюренбергъ (б.г.) 1711-1717.

3. Историческія памятныя медали 1710 года. Нюренбергъ (б.г.) 1720.

4. Введеніе въ науку о медаляхъ или монетахъ, для обученіе тъхъ, которые пытаются получить основное познаніе какъ о современныхъ такъ и объ античныхъ монетахъ... изъ французскаго на измецкій языкъ передожено. Нюренбергъ 1738. Переволь второго французскаго изданія Jobert-a La Science des Medailles Парижъ 1716, первоначально вышелшаго въ 1692 году, безъ указанія имени переводчика.

Источники.

Will, G. A., Nürnbergisches Gelehrten-Lexicon oder Beschreibung aller Nürnbergischen Gelehrten... Theil III. N-S. Nürnberg 1757, S. 12-16.

Will, G. A., Eine schöne Medaille auf den berühmten und verdienten Herrn Joachim Negelein. Nürnbergische Münz-Belustigungen,

fünftes Stück, 31. Jenner 1767, S. 33-40. ill.

Forster, A. von, — Die Erzeugnisse der Stempelschneidekunst in Augsburg und Ph. H. Müller's nach meiner Sammlung beschrieben und die Augsburger Stadtmünzen, Kommissionsverlag von Karl. W. Hiersemann, Leipzig 1910 mit Nachtrag 1914.

Gerlund, Werner, Neue Deutsche Biographie, Bd. 18. (1997) auch am Internet.

Lengnich, Chr., — Merkwüdigkeiten einer Münz- und Medaillensammlung in Danzig.. Journal von und für Deutschand. 8 Jahgang, Königsberg 1791.

Щукина, Е. С., — Два века русской медали. Медальерное искусство в России 1700—1917 гг. Государственни Эрмитаж. Москва 2000. / Shchukina, E. S., Two centuries of Russian Medal. Medallic art in Russia 1700—1917. State Hermitage. Moscow 2000.

Das Laufferische Medaillen-Cabinet oder Verzeichniss aller Medaillen, welche sowohl die historischen Begebenheiten von A. 1679. bis A. 1742 als auch andere Christlich- und Moralische Betrachtungen, nebst der vollkommenen Reihe der Römischen Päbste enthalten. Und noch itzo beständig zu finden, in Nürnberg bey Caspar Gottlieb Lauffern, des Hoch-Löbl. Fränkischen Kreises Münz-Rath und General-Münz-Waradin, Nürnberg 1742.

Im Hof, Cristoph Andreas IV, von und zu Helmstatt, — Sammlung eines Nürnbergischen Münz-Cabinets, welches mit vieler Mühe so vollstaendig, als moeglich, in wenig Jahren zusammengetragen, und sodann auf das genausete beschrieben worden... Nürnberg 1782, S. 846.

> В. Арефьевъ Филадельфія, іюль 2012.

Philipp Heinrich Müller, Medalist, 1654 — 1719.

Persona.

Name: Müller, Philipp Heinrich

Name variant: Miller, Philipp Heinrich Name variant: Myller, Philipp Heinrich

Name variant: Mÿller, Philipp Heinrich (added by VA)

Vital Dates: 1654 to 1719 Place of Death: Augsburg

Pofession/SocialPosition: Medalist; Goldsmith

Religion: Evangelical

Author in Neue Deutsche Biographie (1997): Werner, Gerlund.

Genealogy.

Father: Hans Jakob Miller († 1678), Goldsmith in Augsburg, occasionally worked for the Augsburg Mint.

Mother: Barbara, the daughter of Paul Wachter († 1632), Goldsmith in Augsburg.

Married: 1682 Anna Elisabeth, daughter of Dr. med. Johann Ludwig Henesius. Had 3 sons, among them Christian Ernst (1696–1776), Goldsmith. – The proliferation of his family name caused some confusion and misidentifications in kinship. According to archival documents the younger son Christian Ernst became a Medalist and signed his work with M., C. M. or C. E. M.

Life.

After training as a goldsmith in Augsburg (master level in 1682), Müller dedicated himself mainly to the die cutting profession. There are known about 260 coins and 400 medals, for which he created the dies, but he also carved private seals and the a tax stamp for the administration of the Bayarian electorial principality (Kurfürstentum). The superior quality of this work made him known beyond Germany. For the years 1677-1718 Müller delivered nearly all dies used by the Augsburg Mint for striking coins. As a regional mint Augsburg struck not only for the city and the Schwäbian district, but also for other subservian rulers in other parts of the Empire with priveledges of having own coinage. It was believed that a star in the legend idetified his signature on many of these coins, but this very frequently used sign does not appear on his earlier coins, and since the 90ies it is used also by other die cutters and in accordance with the decree for the Upper Emperial Region of 1693, not to join the reform intruduced in Saxony, this star can be interpreted rather as a currency sign. Clearly, the signature P. H. M. / P. H. Müller (Miller, Myller, (Mÿller VA)) on the medals, gained him even greater fame. It is believed that reason for this was, that the city on his initiative and under his guidance constructed a balancier (screw-press), one of the first in Germany; this made it possible a more precise reproduction also of smaller details and sharper reliefs compared to old cointing techniques. Müller also supplied dies to other mints, expecially to the private Nürnberg medals manufacturers Kaspar Gottlieb Laufer und Friedrich Kleinert, who introduced edge lettering. Except for short trips, Müller spent all his life in Augsburg; his bills to the builders of the city of Augsburg and their payments to him show no large time gaps. Not quite clear are his enterpreneurial activities in cooperation with Kleinert. Müller's work provides a comprehensive portrait gallery of the great men of his time, for which he was able to select such an angle between en face and profile giving a feeling of vitality which was not achieved for any person pictured by any other medalist. Fuller in excitement then by other medalists are his allegories for which he received ideas and designs from the city counsel or Leonhard Weiss, who befriended him. Of the medals struck for special occasions without a personal relevance to a particular private occasion such as marriage and baptism, friendly sayings and travel luck pieces, were copied for a long time especially the baptismal one portraying the baptismal process under a crucifix. His earliest medal is the congratulatory medal from the city of Augsburg on the occasion of the wedding of Emperor Leopold in 1676; to the other orders of this sort belong the counsil medals and an especially famous swear-in medal of the city counsel's elders of 1699. Nationwide significance had the medals on the occasion of coronation of the Empress Eleonore and the future Emperor Joseph I as the Roman Emperor 1699/1700 in Augsburg, as well as on the Reformation Jubelee in 1717 which appeared in several medals. His other medals commemorate and depict major themes of the time, particularly the military events of the war with Turkey over the occupation of Palatinate and the English-French break-ups up to the Spanish War of Succession in portraits and allegories, and also with city and detailed depictions of landscapes and battle scenes. The most numerous is the set consisting of 104 medals of Popes up to Pope Clemens XI.

Sources.

Statdarchiv, Augsburg; Archiv der Evangelischen Gesamtgemeine, Augsburg.

Literature.

A. v. Forster, Die Erzeugnisse d. Stempelschneidekunst in Augsburg u. Ph. H. Müller's ..., 1910, Nachtrag 1914; Kf. Max Emanuel, Ausst.kat. München 1976; H. Seling, Die Kunst d. Augsburger Goldschmiede 1529-1868, Meister, Marken, Werke, 3 Bde. mit Suppl., 1980/94, Nr. 1791; Barock in Baden-Württemberg, Ausst.kat. Bruchsal 1981; G. Werner, Die Münzen d. Freien u. d. Hl. Röm. Reichs Stadt Augsburg (in Vorbereitung); ThB (fehlerhaft); Augsburger Stadtlex., hrsg. v. W. Baer u. a., 1985. – Zu Hans Jakob M., Paul Wachter u. Christian Ernst M.: H. Seling (s. o.).

Филиппъ Гайнрихъ Мюллеръ, медальеръ, 1654 – 1719.

Persona.

Имя и фамилія: Müller, Philipp Heinrich Также подъ: Miller, Philipp Heinrich Также подъ: Myller, Philipp Heinrich

Также подъ: Mÿller, Philipp Heinrich (добавлено ВА)

Годы жизни: 1654 до 1719. Гдъ умеръ: г. Аугсбургъ.

Профессія/Соціальное положеніе: Медальеръ; ювелиръ.

Религіи: протестантской.

Авторъ въ Neue Deutsche Biographie (1997): Werner Gerlund.

Семейная хроника.

Отецъ: Hans Jakob Miller († 1678), аугсбургскій ювелиръ, изредка исполняль заказы аугсбургскаго монетнаго двора.

Мать: Barbara, дочь Paul Wachter († 1632), аугсбургскаго ювелира.

Женатъ: 1682 Anna Elisabeth, дочь Dr. med. Johann Ludwig Henesius. Имълъ трехъ сыновей, въ числъ которыхъ былъ Christian Ernst (1696–1776), ювелиръ. Изъ-за особенной распространенности фамиліи Мюллеръ, было много недоразуменій и ложныхъ опредъленій личностей и родства. Согласно съ архивными данными младшій изъ сыновей Christian Ernst сдълался медальеромъ и подписывалъ свои работы иниціалами М., С. М. или С. Е. М.

Жизнь.

Посль обученія въ качествь ювелира въ Аугсбургь (представлень къ степени мастера въ 1682 г.), Мюллеръ посвятилъ себя главнымъ образомъ профессіи ръзьбы штемпелей. Извъстны 260 монетъ и болъе чемъ 400 медалей для которыхъ онъ вырезалъ штемпеля. Но выръзываль онъ также и штемпеля и для печатей частныхъ лицъ, а для Баварскаго Курфюрства сочинилъ также и ихъ налоговый штампъ. Высокое качество этихъ работъ сдълали его имя извъстнымъ и за прелълами Германіи. Въ 1677-1718 гг. Мюллеръ исполниль почти всѣ использованныя Аугсбургскимъ монетнымъ дворомъ штемпеля; въ качествъ окружного монетнаго двора, въ Аугсбургъ чеканились не только монеты для города Аугсбурга и Швабскаго округа, или для другихъ округовъ Имперіи, а также и для лицъ въ другихъ частяхъ Имперіи, имѣющихъ право не чеканку собственной монеты. Въ используемой звъздочкъ въ легендъ на многихъ монетахъ желали было распознавать его подпись, но сей весьма распространенный знакъ не встръчается на его раннихъ монетахъ, но и послъ 90-ыхъ гг. и на монетахъ и другихъ медальеровъ, такъ что послъ постановленія Верховнаго Окружного правительства отъ 1693 года приключиться къ саксонскимъ реформамъ, звъздочку слъдуетъ понимать болъе какъ валютный знакъ. Очевидно, что подписью Р. Н. М./Р. Н. Müller (Miller, Myller, Mÿller) на медали, обрѣлъ онъ еще большую славу. Также важнымъ поводомъ къ этому послужило и то, что по его иниціатив и подъ его руководствомъ на монетномъ двор былъ установленъ одинъ изъ первыхъ въ Германіи винтовой прессъ (балансиръ) при помощи котораго можно было точно воспроизводить также мъльчайшія детали и болье высокій рельефъ, чемъ при старой техник в чеканки. Мюллеръ также снабжалъ штемпелями и другія монетные дворы, особенно частное нюрнбергское предпріятіе чеканки медалей Каспара Готтлиба Ляуфера и Фридриха Кляйнерта (Caspar Gottlieb Lauffer und Friedrich Kleinert), который ввель чеканку гуртовой надписи. За исключеніемъ короткихъ повздокъ, Мюллеръ оставался всю свою жизнь въ Нюрнбергъ; его счета строителямъ города Аугсбурга и ихъ счета ему не выявляютъ значительныя промежутки времени. Не доказанымъ остается его предпринемательская дъятельность сотрудничества съ Кляйнертъ. Работы Мюллера представляютъ широкую портретную галлерею великихъ людей своего времени коихъ онъ, составляя ихъ портретъ, сумъль выбрать особый уголь между en face и profil придавая образу особенную жизненную

силу, которую не могъ достигнуть ни кто иной. Полнъе и съ болъе выразительно чемъ у кого другого, представлены также аллегоріи, для которыхъ, подружившійся съ нимъ городской совътникъ Леонардъ Вайсъ, подаваль ему идеи и эскизы. Изъ такъ называемыхъ медаляхъ на всякія обыденные случаи безъ отношенія къ особому лицу, какъ то на бракосочетаніе и крестины или съ напутственными благословленіями или дружескими пожеланіями. Самой же популярной оказалась медаль на крестины съ избраженіемъ обряда подъ распятіемъ, которая очень часто подражалась. Самой ранней его работой считается поздравительная медаль города Аугсбурга по случаю бракосочетанія Императора Леопольда 1676 г. Къ послъдующимъ заказамъ сего рода относятся медали совъта и особенно медали на присягу городскихъ старшинъ 1699 г. Національнаго значенія имъли медали по случаю коронаціи въ Аугсбургъ Императрицы Элеоноры и позднъе принявшаго титулъ Императора Римской Имперіи 1689/90, Императора Іосифа I, а также и рядъ медалей 1717 г. по случаю юбилея Аугсбургской Реформаціи. Другія медали отражають событія времени, особенно на военныя дійствія съ Турками и оккупаціи Пфальца и англо-французскія разторженія по поводу престолонасльдія, въ портретахъ и аллегоріяхъ, а также съ видами городовъ, детальныхъ ландшафтовъ и батальных сценъ. Самая многочисленная работа это серія медалей Римских БПапъ, состоящая изъ 104 экз. доведенная до Клементія ХІ (но съ большими промежутками! – ВА).

Источники.

Stadtarchiv, Augsburg; Archiv der Evangelischen Gesamtgemeine, Augsburg.

Литература.

A. v. Forster, Die Erzeugnisse d. Stempelschneidekunst in Augsburg u. Ph. H. Müller's ..., 1910, Nachtrag 1914; Kf. Max Emanuel, Ausst.kat. München 1976; H. Seling, Die Kunst d. Augsburger Goldschmiede 1529-1868, Meister, Marken, Werke, 3 Bde. mit Suppl., 1980/94, Nr. 1791; Barock in Baden-Württemberg, Ausst.kat. Bruchsal 1981; G. Werner, Die Münzen d. Freien u. d. Hl. Röm. Reichs Stadt Augsburg (in Vorbereitung); ThB (fehlerhaft); Augsburger Stadtlex., hrsg. v. W. Baer u. a., 1985. – Zu Hans Jakob M., Paul Wachter u. Christian Ernst M.: H. Seling (s. o.).

REVIEWS.

Observations on XVIII cent. Numismatic Bibliographies of Hirsch (1760) and Lipsius (1801). Обзоръ библіографій по нумизматикъ XVIII стол. Хирша (1760) и Липсіуса (1801).

Hirsch, Johann, — Bibliotheca Numismatica exhibens, catalogum autorum, qui de re monetaria et numis tam antiquis quam recentionioribus scripsere, collecta et indice rerum instructa... Nurembergae MDCCCLX.

Numismatic library presenting a catalogue of authors who wrote and discussed monetary matters and ancient coins, collected and equipped with a subject index.

Нумизматическая библіотека представляющая каталогъ авторовъ, которые писали и обсуждали вопросы касающіяся нумизматики и древнихъ монетъ съ предметнымъ указателемъ.

Lipsius, J., — Bibliotheca Numaria, sive catalogus auctorum qui usque at finem seculi XVIII de re monetaria aut numis scripserunt. Rei numariae, Historiae et Artis studiosis, ut et Juresconsultis, Mercatoribus, Argentariis, Monetariis etc. cumpositus, cum Indece rerum, et Vocabulario germanico-lat. in usum eorum, qui, latinae linguae non satis gnari, hunc librum consulere volunt. Praefatus est brevi commemoratione de Studii rei numismaticae antiquoris vicissitudinibus Christ. Gottl. Heyne, Eloqun. Profess. in Georgia Augusta Goettingenensi Acad. Regi M. Brittan. ab intim iustitit. cons. Lipsiae MDCCC1.

Monetary library, or a list of authors who wrote on coins and numismatics up to the end of the XVIII century. Covering monetary matters, history and art studies, monetary legislation, trade, money exchange together with a Latin-German vocabulary for the use of those, who are not sufficiently fluent in Latin to consult this work. With a foreword containing a brief overview of the development of numismatics from the ancient times by Christian Gottlieb Heyne, Professor of Eloquence and privy councilor at the King George of Gr. Britain Royal Academy of Göttingen.

Монетная библіотека, или каталогъ авторовъ писавшихъ о монетахъ и монетномъ дълъ вплоть до конца XVIII въка. Охватываетъ монетное дъло, исторію и изученіе искусства, законодательные акты, торговлю, денежный обмънъ съ латино-нъмецкимъ словаремъ для мало знакомыхъ съ латинскимъ языкомъ и для удобнаго пользованія симъ справочникомъ. Съ краткимъ вступительнымъ обзоромъ развитія нумизматики начиная съ древнъшихъ временъ Христіана Готтлиба Хайне, профессора красноръчія и тайнаго совътника при короля Георга Великобританскаго Гёттингенской. Академіи.

Right from the start of my collecting I chose Chaudoir as my vade mecum for numismatic literature of the XVIII cent. containing material related to Russian history and ignored both Hirsch and Lipsius. At the same time I also had a preconceived notion that neither Hirsch nor Lipsius could be authoritative enough in Russian area but later I discovered that I was right only in the case of Hirsch — Lipsius was a pleasant surprise. It turned out to have a very useful and virtually exhaustive source of all major sources including historical monographs not only published in Europe but also a few in Russia. As I can deduce from my own research, not much was taking place in Russia in the area of numismatics at that time and only towards the end of the XVIII cent. there appeared a few publications, but so rare and only through personal contact of Baron von Asch, as mentioned above in the Introduction, and through a few scientists who maintained contact with their colleagues in Russia, did a small number of copies get into private hands or to university libraries in Europe.

Lengnich (1776), a deacon in Danzig and publisher of the «Beiträge zur Münzkunde» (Contributions to numismatics; between 1776 and 1782 it appeared under several titles — VA), in his review of Hirsch he praises his very voluminous index. He sees Hirsch's wish to introduce to the numismatic community works of old and new authors, which appeared in the whole of Europe. Therefore, notes Lengnich, he collected with great effort and care everything that was written on matters related to coins, and especially about coins of all nations from the ancient times to the present day. He collected also smaller works as well as those which appeared in just a few leaves or were dispersed in various publications or collections where one normally would not expect to find such material. He cites work of Hirsch's predecessors such as Labbe, Banduri, Bruckmann and as the result, Lengnich

notes, Hirsch scrapped the Banduri format of portraying the writers and their works, but instead went directly to their works and as the result was able to offer the reader very enlarged and as complete as possible numismatic library, arranged in alphabetical order by the author, or the nouns of the title of a given publication, along with an 86 page subject index (prepared by Gottfried Stieber) in split columns, which facilitates finding anonymous works. But he also complains, that in the index under the terms Moneta or Numus the string of references under these terms is unnecesserily long and confusing. For example, the terms Medaille, Numophylacium and other medal related terms all lumped under Numus creating long columns. Though, what a collector will remain ungrateful to the man who gave him this new catalogue, in spite of it all, to be quite useful? asks Lengnich.

It seems that soon after appearance of the Hirsch's bibliography it aroused wide interest and activity among lovers of numismatics and Lengnich reports that one named Julius Carl Schläger, a state councilor in Gotha, Germany's most famous numismatist and a major contributor to the Hirsch's Bibliotheca (see foreword in Hirsch), had in his possession his own «Bilbliotheca numismatica» in three octavo volumes, ready for publication. But at the time of Lengnich's review in 1776, the project was apparently in some sort of a limbo.

Lengnich himself also compiled a large list of publications not found in Hirsch**) which would warrant a new edition, for which he received permission from councilor Hirsch and has even given it a new title: «Hirsch. Bibliotheca numismatica cum accessionibus et emendationibus Carl Benjamin Lengnich» (Numismatic library with additions and amendments), but it remained also unpublished and after his death in 1795 it was donated to the Gotha ducal library. Those, who were familiar with that manuscript found that the later Lipsius's work far exceeds even the Lengnich's "new and improved" version (see Neue allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek... below).

And for my purposes I found the Hirsch work, including the index, virtually useless. There is absolutely nothing on Russian numismatics and two "stray" works by Bayer published in St. Petersburg in 1736 and another by Crusius 1748, are on ancient numismatics, but that is all. Was there anything on Russian numismatics before 1760 that Hirsch might have missed? Yes. Even the non-bibliography oriented J. Gröning in his "Historia Numismatum Critica", Hamburg 1702, a publication intended primarily for medals collectors, lists two, one of which was a big one — Adam Olearius' travelogue to Russia (see also below). And this big Russian gaping void with significant additional pre 1760 material also in other areas was closed forty years later by his successor — Joseph Gottfried Lipsius (1754-1820).

Lipsius, being an overseer of the Coin Cabinet of Dresden was also close to the richly equipped prince elector's library, probably a modern equivalent to the Library of Congress, was in a strategically optimal position for putting together a comprehensive numismatic bibliography, or actually any bibliography. But before embarking on his project, he visited the ducal library of Gotha and enquired also of other sources for bibliographic material and did come across the one once left by Lengnich***) in his archive along with similar supplementary information from other sources.

He reinspected scientific journals Hirsch used and found in them additional information. A cursory inspection of both catalogues for material published before 1760, i.e. publication year of Hirsche's Bibliotheca, shows significant enlargement. E.g., under letter Q Lipsius has 10 items while Hirsch lists only 4; similar results show for the letter

Z: Hirsch 30 — Lipsius over 49. Another interesting comparison: Lipsius revisited an early serial Nova Maris Baltici, which started in 1698, and identified an important article by N. Keder on Russia's first ruble of 1654 skipped by Hirsch or an early publication on world history by Th. Salmon published in 1730's, Lipsius pp. 344-345 with numerous entries on coinage of different countries including Russia would never find its way to Lipsius if he had relied on Hirsch. Another interesting entry which has not found its way to Hirsch is an early Americana under "Ryk", Lipsius p. 343, on early colonial money. So, I believe, Lipsius did not have much to copy from Hirsch, as some say he did (see G. F. Kolbe catalogue below), with the exception of a few manuscripts, for which he credits Hirsch as his source. And, according to my own inspection, although he did follow a



Baron Sigismund von Herberstein wearing Russian garment in 1517, present of Grand Duke Basilius III.

simple alphabetical line entry by author as used by Hirsch, but copying Hirsch blindly would not serve Lipsius' purpose. because of his radically different approach in building his index. To achieve his goal Lipsius had to reinspect and reclassify every entry and ultimately to come up with a totally new and more useful index format.

And his subject index is exhaustive with duplicate and triplicate classifications of all major works dealing with Russian numismatics, with the exception of individual articles in general works such as Köhler, Lochner, Bauer, Joachim, or Negelein. Although some material of this type by Büsching and Schlözer from their scientific journals, did find proper place in this bibliography including the special article on Russian monetary system in last edition of Praun's "Gündliche Nachricht..." of 1784 (see discussed here in the Inventory).

Did Lipsius miss anything important? Yes he did and these are two big ones, a travelogue by Adam Olearius (1599-1671), a councilor at the court of Duke of Holstein, who visited Russia in 1633 and the same by an Austrian diplomat Baron Sigismund von Herberstein (1486-1566) who also visited that county in 1517 and 1526. Both reported, among other things, also on money matters in Russia and as such may be considered to be the first Russian "numismatic" works. Among other missing in Lipsius are works by Schlatter on assaying and coin fineness, and Beliayev on Peter I numismatic cabinet all published in Russian, however Dmitriyev-Mamonov also in Russian, is in, but not under his name but under the short title: «Slava Rossii», Lipsius

p. 373 but missing in his index.

Among the few minor errors or omitions I found that Lipsius misidentified is the publication year of the Academy of Sciences collection, which appeared under the title Musei Imperialis Petropolitani, pars tertia... SPB 1745, Lipsius page 270 lists it as 1786. But there is no entry for it in the subject index under Russia or Moscovia, although in 1782 Lengnich briefly discussed this catalogue in his publication and even reprinted its table of contents. There is also a small number of brief articles on oriental numismatics by J. H. published the Commentarii in Scientiarum Petropolitanae 1751, which may not have found their way to Lipsius (see Severova reference below) and also



Adam Olearius (1600 - 1671). by Jürgen Ovens (1623 - 1679)

a few Schlözer articles published in Baltic Journals. But basically, with the exception of those printed in Russian language and the few mentioned above, Lipsius has done a good job and left very little even for the chronic nit-pickers.

In my auction catalog research I used Luschin von Ebengreuth in his «Allgemeine Münzkunde...», München u. Berlin 1926, suggestion that in Lipsius one should look for them under the key word "Verzeichniss". And worldcat.org. on Internet rewarded my effort with numerous entries. But that was only one way. Other included such terms as Thesaurus, Numophylacium, Catalogus, Auserlesene and a few more. Yes, as a current user of Lipsius I would have loved to see all auction catalogues properly and clearly identified, but that maybe too much to ask of a man who lived some two hundred ten years ago.

Lipsius' successor J. Leitzmann solved the problem of auction catalogs in his supplement of 1867 quite simply — he proclaimed them as "useless" and left them out. He also totally departed from a norm of transliterating Russian titles and instead lists them in translation. Agreed, quite useful for those who are looking for a potential source of information, but quite confusing if one would want to find this work in a library or now, God forbid on the Internet! Leitzmann would still not quite immortalize his name by providing along with his translations also either the Russian text or some reasonable facsimile of a transliteration, but its general utility for a scientifically oriented numismatists would be significantly more enhanced.

As I get to know Lipsius better and better I begin to see that it was very effectively utilized by Chaudoir in his monumental work on Russian coins as well as serving as an important foundation for his own bibliography of Russian numismatics. In particular, Chaudoir entries marked with *) signifying as not having been seen by the author, many of which were copied from Lipsius verbatim.

I believe, Lipsius produced a wholly independent bibliography and I further believe that his bibliography will not die or whither away in the foreseeable future even if Dekesel comes up with the balance of the remaining ten volumes of his monumental numismatic bibliographic work, because, as it is, even now in four volumes, it takes more time to use it and especially more place to store. Like in the case of the Corpus of Russian Coins by Grand Duke Georgii Mikhailovich, which is nice to own, but cumbersome to use for frequent reference. Computer searchable Lipsius in OCR, that is my dream!

- **) Lengnich also quotes one Mr. Klotz, page 153, an earlier Hirsch reviewer in «Acta eruditorum» for the year 1760, pages 468-469, who, according to his background, having no experience in the subject, as stating: Tantam nobis Cl. Hirschius diligeintiam adhibuisse videtur, ut pauca sint, vel nihil omnio, quod supplendum atque addendum existimemus. "Counselor Hirsch merely employed great industry and we think that there are a few or none that must be added".
- ***) Lengnich's heavily annotated copy of Hirsch was donated, according to his will, by his widow after his death to the Dukal Library of Gotha, for which the widow equally received a thank-you present from the Duke. Listed in Lipsius on page 187.

References.

Chaudoir, S. de, — Chaudoir, S. de, Aperçu sur les monnaies russe. Ier Partie. St. Petersbourg, pp 251-266.

Lengnich, Carl Benjanim, — Beyträge zur Kentniß seltener und merkwürrdiger Bücher, mit besonderer Rücksicht auf die Numismatik. Zweiter Theil. (Contributions to the knowledge of rare and remarkable books, with special emphasis on numismatics). Danzig und Leipzig, 1776 pp 152-154.

Neue allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek, Bd. LXIX, Theil I., Berlin u. Stettin, 1802, pp 495-501.

Gröning, J., —Historia Numismatum Critica, Das ist: Die neu-eröfnete Historie der Modern Medaillen. Samt einer Liste der bewertesten Scribenten, wie auch vornehmsten Cabinetten und Kunst-Cammern. (Critical History of Medals, That is: The new History of the modern Medals with a list of esteemed writers, as well as outstanding coin cabinets and cabinets of curiosities). Hamburg 1702, (Apparently the second edition of Lipsius p. 160).

Schlichtegrolls Nekrolog auf das Jahr 1795, 6 Jahrgang, 2er Bd., Gotha 1798, S. 158-192.

Kolbe, G. F., — The Reference Library of a Numismatic Bookseller. Cedarpines Park, CA 2012, items 12 and 14.

Северова, М. Б. — Нумизматическая литература в России XVIII века. Общий обзор. Аннотированная библиография 1710 — 1800. Ленинград 1982.

V. Arefiev. Philadelphia, PA, August 2012.

Observations on the A. A. Stakhovich's Commentaries on the work of J. B. Iversen «Medaillen auf die Thaten Peter des Grossen», St. Petersburg 1872, Paris 1958.

Разсмотръ комментарій А. А. Стаховича на трудъ Ю. Б. Иверсена «Медали на дъянія Петра Великаго», СПБ 1872, Парижъ 1958.

1

The late Ivan Bekicz of Sydney, Australia, in mid 60's put me in touch with Vladimir von Rychter, most noted Russian émigré medal collector. Among his books which he sold me was a copy without a title page of a mimeographed discussion by A. A. Stakhovich of the said work by Iversen using his own collection as primary source for his critiques. While residing still in Santa Barbara, CA., I reproduced it in two or three copies and had them nicely bound. Several decades later a German translation was made by a RNS member Schubers and I added also that version to my collection. It may have been a lot of fun for the author to write it and pick on Iversen's choices of some Russian words in the translations, or lack or presence of extra dots here and there or some unpublished varieties, &c, &c, &c.

When I got my first Peter I medals, yes I would check with both Iversen and Stakhovich, but basically I was quite bored with it. Till, now! On pages 21-23 of Stakhovich Commentaries, there is a lengthy discussion if a set of Müller dies was shipped or not shipped to Russia and if there was an extra set of same left in Nürnberg, and if they could have survived the devastation Nürnberg suffered during WWII (yes, I visited Nürnberg in 1946 and it indeed looked more like Pompeii), and what happened to them if they got to Russia, and so on. In this discussion, Stakhnovich, who lived in Paris, engages the help of his friend in London V. von Rychter who, quoting the Forrer work on biographies of medalists, points out to Caspar Gottlieb Lauffer, who in 1742 put out a large catalogue of medal dies by various medalists including made by Müller offering copies for sale. Stakhovich gives credit to Iversen, who, based on his finding of Müller obverse mated with a reverse cut in Russia, believes that somehow the dies did get to Russia and were used there in striking medals. Recently uncovered documents by Shchukina, which were not available to Iversen, have been found confirming the delivery of dies in 1716 with an invoice for 1400 taler. The documents further indicate that the dies were complete by 1715 at a cost of ca 50 talers each. So this clears finally up the question if the dies were delivered to Russia. But another question remains, if another set left in Nürnberg, as von Rychter believed, after reading the text in Forrer about the big Lauffer medals enterprise. But neither von Rychter nor Stakhovich started looking for Lauffer catalogue, but I did. As it turns out there are not one but three editions, one of 1742 cited by Forrer and relayed by von Rychter to Stakhovich and at least two later ones also of Nürnberg, one of 1776 (however, with the youngest medal being 1787!) and another of 1792 in somewhat changed format all issued by George Nicolaus Rieder (†1793), then the Mintmaster at Nürnberg, but with removal of some older medals and addition of a few new ones. I checked the first two of them for presence of Müller Russian medals for the period 1708 to 1710 and the answer is negative. No Russian medals struck by either Müller the father (P.H.M) or Müller the son (M) were available through these catalogues. But there were plenty of other Müller-the-father medals (P.H.M.), but none for his son with M. Now, the reader might ask, where did this story about "father and son" came

from? Well, the answer could be a little complicated, so I shall start with the simple one first: the P.H.Müller biography published by Forrer evolved from such sources as Amann (1776), Bolzenthat (1843. It appears it was based more on supposition than on factual material. A 1997 publication of the P.H. Müller's biography in Allgemeine Deutsche Biographie, vol. 18, (1997) based on archival documents presently in Augsburg city archive (see English and Russian translations elsewhere in this Supplementum) is the latest what we have on P.H. Müller and it basically says that P.H. Müller did not stay away for any extended period of time from his business in Augsburg and that his youngest son Christian Ernst also a medalist (1696-1776), signed his work with M., C. M. or C. E. M. A-a-h, now we are getting somewhere... In the P.H. Müller biography Forrer also sort of mentions almost in passing, that medals marked with M are cut by his son, but this was not questioned in the Stakhovich review. And even Bolzenthal states that in one case there was a medal that both father and son worked on and I could confirm that by studying the Forster exhaustive collection of coins and medals struck by the Augsburg medalists. In further studying this reference in connection with the Negelein work one notices that there are numerous medals with initials P.H.M. before and after 1709 (this is the year in which one of two Russian Müller medals has initials P.H.M.), but none with M, other than those on commission from Russia. Negelein, who knew both Müllers, in describing in his Thesaurus a medal marked with P.H.M. each time notes as being "by famous P.H. Müller of Augsburg", but those with just M on the sleeve he would just briefly state "with M in the sleeve" and nothing else. Did Christian Ernst Müller continue his carries as a medalist in this father's shop after finishing the so called Müller series? Using his three different signatures mentioned above I could not find any evidence of that. But Schlickeisen on pages 246 for Christian Ernst Müller suggests, he was a die cutter in Augsburg 1714-1741. His name also surfaces later in a management position of a mint warden in Oettingen 1759-1761. There appears to be a further study of Christian Ernst Müller work in preparation in Germany and perhaps it can shed some more light on the life and metallic work of Müller the son.

Stakhovich and von Rychter have done a very valuable job of bringing all sorts of technical and historical details on Petrine medals to the attention of the reader of value primarily for the next revision of Iversen's work, but their biggest contribution is that in less than thirty years they put together a collection of Petrine medals only second to that of the Hermitage. But their literature search is not that overwhelming — they simply stopped with Iversen's compilation and disagreed with him when they would find something different or unlisted. Even without the benefit of the latest 1997 P.H. Müller biography, they could have detected something unusual about the two types of initials, if they would just take the Negelein «Thesaurus» (1711-1720) and Forster's «Die Erzeugnisse der Stempelschneidekunst (1910-1914)» works and laying them side by side one cannot help but at least to wonder why over a long period only Russian medals were marked with an M and very few other, in the course between 1702 and 1719, while at the same time P.H.M. monogram, after a brief interreption, continued to appear on other medals but now in the form of a star (*). For a full discussion on the background of Müller medals marked with P.H.M. and M see Müller Medals for Russia... elsewhere in this Supplementum III.

There is also another interesting question as to where were the medals struck those that have edge inscriptions — Nürnberg or Moscow or both? My guess is Nürnberg and a few perhaps in Russia because the Lauffer Medals Factory claims they had the best equipment in Germany and as far as Moscow mint was concerned that even on larger Russian coins the edge inscriptions came quite late, but that could be a topic for another discussion.

2

There is another Russian medal by Hautsch († 1711) struck in Nürnberg which appears to be out of place in Iversen, but not noticed by Stakhovich or von Rychter.

It is well accepted that Iversen in his work on Peter I medals distinguished himself not only on collecting, organizing and publishing his unsurpassed work, but also giving credit to early authors who were the first to publish this or that medal. He left no stone unturned and in my long researches of the XVIII cent. numismatic works dealing with Russian numismatics, as of today he hasn't missed any of major significance. However, some of his attributions and assertions could be challenged. Another such case will be presented here. It pertains to the medals listed in Iversen work on page 28 and illustrated on table VII. 6 As stated in his catalog, it was first published by Negelein in his Thesaurus p. 962 with the following description: "Die vördere Seite stellet Seiner Czaarischen Majestät Brust-Bild, in voller Kriegs-Rüstung vor, mit der Umschrifft: PETRVS ALEXIEWitz Magnus Dominus, TZAR Magnus Dux MOSCOViae. Petrus Alexiewitz, Grosse Herr Czar und Groß-Fürst in Moscau. Der Buchstab H ist des Medailleurs Hautsch Nahmens-Zeichen". According to Iversen, p. 28, ", some of these medals may have an edge inscription *Iuetior alter nec pietate fuit nec bello maior* (translated in Collection of Russian Medals as: In justice, honor and courage he had no equals. The same source translates pietate et fortitudine as with

piety and strenth). It is shown in Thesaurus num. modern. hui. saec. pag. 962, Tiregale Nr. 63 and Collection of Russian Medals as Nr. 106, page 26. All these early sources attributed this medal to different events. In Thesaurus it is said it was struck in honor of Peter I and that the St. George points to the crest of Moscow. Tiregale calls it medal on the knightly deeds of Peter I and attributed it to the time of the Peace of Nystadt. And finally the Collection of Russian Medals calls it to be on the death of Peter I. I don't believe I shall be to far off if I attribute this medal to the Poltava victory, in as much as it is listed in Thesaurus under year 1709. This medal is also described in Secretarius on p. 334, for the year 1713."

What Iversen did not do, is quoting how Negelein on page 962 describes the reverse side of this medal, which is copied verbatim here: "Die Rück-Seite präsentiret den Ritter St. Georg, wie er nach Anzeig der alten Tradition, den ungeheuren Drachen, oder insgemein also gennanten Lindwurm, ersticht. Womit auf das Groß-Czaarische Wapen gezielet wird, da eben dieser Ritter auf der Brust des Moscowitischen Adlers erscheinet. Die Umschrifft PIETATE ET FORTITVDINE begreifft das Symbolum Seiner Majestät, des jetztregierenden Groß-Czaaren, und lässet sich ganz schicklich, so wohl auf besagten Ritter Georgium, als auf Seine Majestät appliciren. Indem es zeiget, daß, gleichwie die Gottesfurcht und Tapferkeit jenem nachgerühmet wird: also leuchten sothane beyde Tugenden auch absonderlich an Ihro Czaarischen Majestät hervor, und werden demnach Deren Glorie unsterblich machen. Wie dann der Erfinder oberwähntes Symbolum deßwegen also verteutschet:"

Translation: The back-side shows the Knight of St. George, as he stabs the Dragon, which in accordance with the old tradion is also known as a huge serpent. Aiming it at the Grand-Czarist crest, it shows that actully this knight is shown on the breast of the Moscovite eagle. The legend PIETATE ET FORTITVDINE is to be understood as the symbol of His Majesty, the currently reigning Grand-Czaar, and applies wholy to be said of the Knight George as well as of His Majesty. In which is shown that fear of God and courage will make one glorious and that the light shines on these two vertues and especially on His Czaar's Majesty und that will make him immortal. Here is how the inventor of the above symbol also puts it into German:

Wann Gottessucht regiert die kluge Tapserkeit, so bleibt gewiß der Auhm nun und in Ewigkeit.

When Piety reigneth the wise courage, so will the glory live now and in the ages.

Господомъ руководясь мудрая храбрость дастъ славъ жизнь вовъки и днесь.

The way Negelein organizes his medals is also relevant to attribution of this medal. He groups them in accordance with the event for which they were struck preceded with a brief historical overview. Thus all medals struck on Peter I 1709 Poltava victory, of which there are 6, are shown on p. 905-908, while the one under discussion is shown with medals for the same 1709 year, but quite separately on page 962 without any historical background, just a description.

Further research has shown, that other medals with similar content and symbology were struck about the same time. They are

1. A medal with similar legend was struck honoring Charles XII in 1718:

GOttes-Jurcht und Selden-Muth ist der Schwedens eignes Gut.

Translated:

Piety and Knightly courage Is Sweden's own virtues.

Страхъ Господень. и рыцарская храбрость. Швеціи добродътели.

See Lauffer 1742, p. 116.

2. Or another one by G.W. Vestner on the death of Charles XII in 1718 with an analogous legend: FORTITUDO ET IMMORTALITAS. Bernheimer, F., Georg Wilhelm Vestner..., München 1984, Nr. 144, page 84.

So, what should this disputed medal be known as? According to Johann Gröning in his Historia Numismatum Critica, Das ist: Die neu-eröfnete Historie der Modern Medaillen... Hamburg 1702, In chapter entitled "Von dem Nutzen der Medaillen in der Moral und Politic" (of the benefits of medals in morals and politics), pages 154-156, the author discusses the use of medals to commemorate worthy events and people and the expression Fear of God or Pieta is frequetly used in their legend. So one could interpret the back side of the Hautsch medal as depicting Courageous knight, St. George, slaving the dragon, but also being fearful of God's power over men symbolicly depicting Love of fellow man and courage to confront danger. Thus, following the above precedents I would propose to call it what Negelein called it: On Piety and Courage of Peter the Great or in Russian: На страхъ Господній и Мужество Петра І.

And what about the year? Here also we are not left with a clear choice. Yes, Negelein, who undoubtely knew medallist Hautsch who also lived in Nürnberg and created this medal, puts it in his last 11th issue of Thesaurus for the year 1709 maybe out of uncertainty of Thesaurus' future. But Lauffer, who owned the dies and ran his Medals Factory in Nürnberg with Friedrich Kleinert, puts it in his 1742 catalog under the year 1710, while Negelein was still alive. Second edition of 1776 did not alter that. So here we may have have almost a toss-up — 1709 or 1710? but I would opt for 1710.

Following Nr. 69 in «Collection of Russian Medals», SPB 1840, where this medal without altered date (1715) is identified as a prise medal for the artists and scientist, Iversen attributes it in LVI, page 60, as on the founding of the Russian academy of Sciences. Stakhovich identified here a few discrepances in spelling, page 70, but, basically its attribution remained unchallanged to that proposed by Iversen. According to Shchukina, this medal was a subject of detailed study in 1975, the 250th anniversary of the founding of the Academy of Sciences, but apparently nobody was disturbed by the fact that the MDCCXXV date on the medal was altered from MDCCXV by punching an extra X, which Iversen attributes to medalist C. Wermuth, who designed it. In her 1984 article E. Shchukina, citing a 1725 Wermuth proposal to Catherine I with eight medals on the reign and death of Peter, where this medal is listed unaltered as 1715, reintroduced it to the numismatic community under its original application. With all pertinent translations on hand, she began to wonder with what event the original, unpunched date on the medals could be connected with, but was reluctant to disassociate it with the foundation of the Academy of Sciences perhaps for political reasons, as that would destroy the foundation laying "brick" of the prestigeous institution and deprive it of its birth certificate. Even with all this trouble, the year of the opening of the Academy of Sciences was realy not 1725 but 1726 — the year when it held its first meeting, way after Peter I death! (see the foldout chart in this Supplementum entitled "Die Kayserliche Academie..."). As was noted in the proposal itself and the translations in Shchukina article, the purpose of the 1715 medal was quite clear — to honor the introduction in Russia of various arts and hand crafts in which Peter I himself was handy with, basically as initially listed in the «Collection of Russian Medals» cited above. So why not call it "On itroduction of Arts and Crafts in Russia" or in Russian — На развитіе въ Россіи искусствъ и кустарныхъ профессій? It may sound more proletarian and not as glorious as "On foundation of the Academy of Sciences", but it definitely is closer to the historical truth*).

While the above medal was never struck in Russia, nontheless, the editor of the Collction of Russian Medals states that it was awarded during Peter I reign to ourstanding painters and scientists, which, obviously is a poetic fiction!

Another somewhat incongruous text of a Latin legend was picked up by Negelein, but missed by both Iversen and Stakhovich. It relates to the Müller medal for capture of Riga, Iversen XXIX 1), Stakhovich pp 45-46. Here is its description as originally published in 1720 by Negelein, page 44: The Revers depicts besieged and with bombs threatened Riga. The legend above is made up of a chronogramm which reads: RIGA REDIT RVSSIS APTO CERTAMINE CASTRIS. Its contents shows, that the Moscovite people succeeded in bringing the city back, after cutting it off. Although, since Riga was never under Moscow's domination, the choice of word REDIT is not suitable here. Below one reads: CAPTA DIE VIII. JVLII. S. V. Captured 8 July according to the old calendar. Переводъ: Обр. сторона представляетъ окруженную Ригу усращаемую бомбардировкой Поверху надпись состящая изъ хронограммы: RIGA REDIT RVSSIS APTO CERTAMINE CASTRIS. Ея содержаніе показываетъ, что московскому народу, отръзавъ городъ, удалось возвратить Ригу обратно. Поскольку Рига никогда не пребывала подъ московскимъ управленіемъ, выборъ слова REDIT неудаченъ. An unpublished variety of this medal is published elsewhere in this Supplementum.

5

One of the medals commemorating conquering Azov is listed in Iversen as V. 2), page 5, struck by Boscam and shown on plate VII. as Nr. 1. Iversen traces it to Tiregale page 4 as the earliest source (1772), whereas I have found this medal illustrated and described much earlier in Johann Gröning — Historia Numismatum Critica... Hamburg 1702, pages 185-186, where the reverse shows Faith with a cross and a palm branch symbolizing God and peace, rather than Victoria with a cross, as Iversen chooses to call the female figure and NO spelling errors in the exerge. Stakhovich offers no discussion of this medal because apparently he did not have one in his collection.

6

This one is a minor misstatement: while discussing the Peter I medals in Schubert collection, page X, on page XIV he lists erroneously the French 1857 edition of his catalog. The correct reference should be the 1843 his Russian edition. Also the statement on page XII that Reichel was the first, who took into account the initials of medalists is not quite accurate — Negelein in his Thesaurus 1711-1720 takes keen note of them and so does Köhler, Lochner, Joachim, Lengnich and others.

7

While discussing the medal on Peter I visit to Paris in 1717, page 17, Iversen credits as the earliest publication of this medal the Nr. 72. in «Collection of Russian Medals», SPB 1840. However, the reader will find a good engraving of this medal along with a detailed description of Peter I visit in Europe in Köhler's "Historische Münz-Belustigung", XVIII Theil, Nürnberg 1745, pages 385-392, ill. Around 1780 this article was translated and published in Russia with a few changes under the title: «Peter I journey to Paris in 1717» without giving credit to the original source. See also footnote 1), page 48 of Iversen's Russian edition, SPB 1872 and my "Historische Numismatische Literatur-Belustigungen", Philadelphia 2004.

In summary, late A. Stakhovich should be very happy to see his life's work highly valued now in Russia and through a well published volume it is made available to anyone interested in the subject. But his other wish of incorporating his corrections and addition to the Iversen works did not yet materialize. Yes, this would be a formidable undertaking which would also require access to a major collection of medals of the order of the one in Hermiatage. But in view of additional information presented elsewhere in this Supplement especially the newly surfaced information as to the true authorship of the so called Müller series, the time is ripe for a head-to- toe revision. Hermitage personnel publish in dribs and drabs various articles on medals of the Peter I period, but none dares to take up a thorough revision of now way outdated Iversen work. Recent publications coming from Hermitage and the former Emperor Alexander III museum show that there are needed specialists to accomplish this task and with today's well advanced computer technology in the graphics world and a constantly growing number of digitized XVIII cent. publications are there for a free download. All one needs is the linguistic ability to be reasonably fluent in a number of Western European languages, which was from times immemoriable a major prerequisit for librarians and museum curators. Personally I have not seen any progress in this area, but perhaps the new generation could take up the challenge and rediscover the wealth and value which is preserved on those old tomes, many of which are now available wherever there is an Internet network.

I realize, Alexandr Alexandrovich Stakhovich would be delighted to get all this new information presented in this Supplement III, although instinctively I feel he would not be welcoming it with really open arms, because it all did not originate from a Russian source. On the other hand, Vladimir Gvidovich

von Rychter, with whom I corresponded but briefly, would applaud and would respond with a "good job, young man!".

SOURCES.

Стаховичъ, А. А., — Комментаріи къ труду Ю. Б. Иверсена «Медали на дъянія Императора Петра Великаго». СПБ 1872 года. Парижъ 1958. / Stakhovich, А. А., — Commentaries on the work of Iu. B. Iversen «Medals on the deeds of Peter the Great», SPb. 1872. Paris 1958.

Щукина, Е. С., — Два века русской медали. Медальерное искусство в России 1700—1917 гг. Государственный Эрмитаж. Москва 2000. / Shchukina, E. S., Two centuries of Russian Medal. Medallic art in Russia 1700—1917. State Hermitage. Moscow 2000.

Щукина, Е. С., — Христиан Вермут и его работы для России. Государственный ордена Ленина Эрмитаж. Экономика, политика и культура в свете нумизматики. Ленинград 1982./ Shchukina, E. S., Christian Wermuth and his work for Russia. Order of Lenin State Hermitage. Leningrad 1982.

Собраніе Русскихъ Медалей. Вып. І, Санктпетербургъ 1840. Collection of Russian Medals. First issue. St. Petersburg 1840.

Negelein, Joachim, — Thesaurus numismatum modernorum huius saeculi, sive numismata mnemonica et iconica quibus praecipui eventus et res gestae ab Anno MDCC illustrantur: figuris aeneis, addita Latina et Germanica explicatione. Historische Gedächtnuß-Müntzen des gegenwärtigen seculi, oder Gedächtnuß - und Schau- Pfennige, welche auf die fürnehmsten Begebenheiten und Vorfälle von Anno 1700. an sind gepräget worden, in Kupffer gebracht, samt beygefügter Lateinischen und Teutschen Erklärung. Nürnberg 1711-1717.

Negelein, Joachim, — Numismata Historica Anni MDCCX. Historische Gedächtnus-Müntzen des 1710. Jahrs. Nürnberg 1720.

Ammon, J., — Sammlung berühmter Medailleurs und Münzmeister nebst deren Zeichen. Nürnberg 1778.

Bolzenthal, H., — Skizzen zur Kunstgeschichte der modernen Medaillen-Arbeit (1429-1840). Berlin 1840, S. 222-223. / Sketches on the History of Art of modern Medal designs (1429-1840) /. Unter seiner Leitung hat sein Sohn Chr. Ernst Müller mit Medaille an Stadtpflegers Paul von Stetten, geholfen. /Under his guidance his son Christian Ernst, worked on the medal for a city official Paul von Steeten. Siehe auch Forster S. 43 mit M und C E M bezeichnet, Nrn. 303 und 304, ohne Jahrzahl. /See also Nrs 303 and 304 in Forster p. 43, marked with M and C E M but without the year.

Forrer, L., — Biographical Dictionary of Medalists... vol. III. London 1907, "Caspar Gottlieb Lauffer", pp 326-331; and vol. IV, London 1909, "Müller, P. H.", pp 196-205.

Schlickeisen, F.W.A. und Pallman, R. — Erklärung der Abkürzungen auf Münzen der neueren Zeit, des Mittelalters und des Alterthums, sowie auf Denkmünzen und münzartigen Zeichen. Zweite Auflage. Berlin 1882.

Forster, A. von, — Die Erzeugnisse der Stempelschneidekunst in Augsburg und Ph. H. Müller's nach meiner Sammlung beschrieben und die Augsburger Stadtmünzen, Kommissionsverlag von Karl. W. Hiersemann, Leipzig 1910 mit Nachtrag 1914. /The products of the medallic art in Augsburg and that of Ph. H. Müller in my collection and the coins of the city of Augsburg. Leipzig 1910 with supplement of 1914.

Werner, Gerlind, — "Müller, Philipp Heinrch", in Neue Deutsche Biographie 18 (1997), S. 468 f. [Onlinefassung]; URL: http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd121166546.html

Bernheimer, Francisca, — Georg Wilhelm Vestner und Andreas Vestner. Zwei Nürnberger Medailleure. München 1984. / Georg Wilhelm Vestner and Andreas Vestner. Two medalists of Nürnberg. München 1984.

Das Laufferische Medaillen-Cabinet oder Verzeichniss aller Medaillen, welche sowohl die historischen Begebenheiten von A. 1679. bis A. 1742 als auch andere Christlich- und Moralische Betrachtungen, nebst der vollkommenen Reihe der Römischen Päbste enthalten. Und noch itzo beständig zu finden, in Nürnberg bey Caspar Gottlieb Lauffern, des Hoch-Löbl. Fränkischen Kreises Münz-Rath und General-Münz-Waradin, Nürnberg 1742.

Riedner, Georg Nicolaus, — Verzeichniß aller derienigen Medaillen welche sowohl allerley historische Begebenheiten von 1679. bis hiehero als auch verschiedene andere geistlich- und moralische Schaumünzen wie nicht weniger die vollkommene Reihe der römischen Päbste enthalten, und mehrentheils schon ehehin in dem A. 1742. zum Druck gekommenen Laufferischen Catalogo umständlich beschrieben, hier aber nur hauptsächlich der Kürze halber nach ihren Um- und Ueberschriften angezeiget, auch noch beständig zu finden sind, bey Georg Nicolaus Riedner, Münzmeister der Kayserl. privilegirten Fränkischen Kraißmünzstatt zu Nürnberg. S.1. 1776.

Gröning, Johann, —Historia Numismatum Critica. Das ist: Die Neu-eröfnete Historie der Modern Medaillen. Sampt einer Liste der Bewertesten Scribenten, wie auch der vornehmsten Cabinetten und Kunst-Cammern. Benjamin Schiller. Hamburg 1702.

Wermuth, Christian, — Curieuse Medailles, oder: Schau- und Gedæchtnus Pfennige, welche Sr. Kayserl. Russischen Majestæt zu Ehren und bey der Nachwelt zu dero hoechst ruhmlichst verdienten allerglorwurdigsten und bestændigsten Andencken ausgefertiget, und Ihro Majestæt der regierenden Allerdurchlauchtigsten Grosmæchtigsten Russischen Kayserin und Frauen, Frauen Catharina Alexiewna allerunterthænigst dediciret werden von Christian Wermuthen, Priviligirten Ræm. Kayserl. Kænigl. Chur. und Fürstl. Sæchss. Medailleur zu Gotha in Thüringen. Gottfried Rothe. Leipzig 1725, Tb + [1] + Widmung + [5] S. mit einem Holzvign. auf der letzten Seite. Auf dem Titel nach der Jahreszahl MDCCXXV mit der Hand "d. 1. Maij" eingetragen.

*) Bei Lochner, Sammlung merkwürdiger Medaillen...1742, it is called: Auf Peter I Erfahrung in mechanischen Wissenschaften von 1715 or in Wermuth 1725: Auf Einführung der freien und allerlei Handwerks-Künste 1715. On reverse it has the following text: TANTISPER RELINQUENS FABRILES ARTES DUM FABER IPSE DOCET or in free translation: Meanwhile while leaving his work, he teaches carpentry. Or in Russian: Оставивъ свой трудъ, онъ обучаетъ плотничеству. It is not clear how the editor of Collection of Russian Medals came up with the follwing translation: Великій мужъ оставляетъ скипетръ для малыхъ дѣлъ, и будучи самъ художникомъ, учитъ художествамъ. Or in translation: A great man sets aside his scepter for lesser deeds and being himself an artist, teaches art to others. Although, granted, it sounds more worthy of a Czaar. In any case, such legends do not resonate well with the spririt of academic or scientific societies or organizations.

В. Арефьевъ. Филадельфія, іюль 2012.

On the P. H. Müller Medals for Russia.

Peter I medals on the Northern War marked with P.H.M. or M were first published by Negelein in his Thesaurus in 1716-1720 and over a century later were repeated in «Collection of Russian Medals», St. Petersburg 1840 and «Reichel'sche Münzsammlung», St. Petersburg [appeared in1847], but none was attributed to P.H. Müller (P.H.M.) the father or C.H. Müller the son (M). In his 1872 catalog of Peter I medals Iversen combines these medals and attributes all of them to Müller the father. But what was known about Müllers at that time Iversen wrote his book? He references only two sources with biographical data of medalists: 1) Ammon, J. — Sammlung berühmter Medailleurs und Müzmeisters nebst ihren Zeichen. Nürnberg 1778, and 2) Bolzenthal, H., Skizzen zur Kunstgeschichte der modernen Medaillen-Arbeit (1429-1840). Berlin 1840. Ammon, a brew master in Nürnberg, on page 34 lists a series of combinations of initials that were used by P.H. Müller such as P.H.M., P.H.Müller, P.H. Muller, P.H. Miller, etc., but always containing "P.H." On the other hand, Bolzenthal, keeper of the Berlin Museum coin cabinet, on pages 222-223 speaks only about a few P.H. Müller's cooperative efforts with his sons Christian Ernst and Christopher, but provides no markings that were used by either. In studying Russian medals listed in Negelein's Thesaurus may have led Iversen somehow to conclude that initials P.H.M. and M may belong to one and the same medalist, although when discribing medals marked with P.H.M. and M Negelein clearly makes a distinction, attributing the first to P.H.Müller of Augsburg and the ones with M on the sleeve are just noted as such — with M on the sleeve — not even a guess as to the identity of the medalist. Was Iversen too hasty to lump both P.H.M. and M together? I would say he was, but at the time Iversen did not have the extra references which I consulted to build this case 140 years later.

Iversen's work, which in 1873 received the large silver prize medal of the Imperial Russian Archeological Society, remained unchallanged for almost one hundred years, untill a Russian émigré Alexander Stakhovich published in Paris in 1958 his commentaries and observations on Iversen's work (see discussion elsewhere in the Supplementum). Although Stakhovich frequently refered to A. Forrer's multivolume work on Biographies of medalist, he implicitly accepted that the same medalist stands behing initials M and P.H.M. and ignored Forrer's hint that a different medalist stands behind M. And obviously nobody after Iversen made any attempt to study the Negelein early publications where he makes a clear distinction in ownership between medals with P.H.M. and M.

Now, in 1910 appears a publication by Forster listing his collection of Augsburg related coins, medals and especially the work of P.H. Müller. Here we see that medals with initials P.H.M, and M are grouped together, possibly under Iversen's influence, under work of P.H.Müller alone. What was remarkable is that without exception, all medals struck for Russia were with M on the sleeve. Studying the Reichel or the current Hermitage collections of Müller medals revealed the same breakdown: only one medal for 1709 is with P.H.M. initials (not present in the Forster collection) and the rest are all with M and some even without initials althogether. Studying Iversen catalogue, initial M appeared on medals for the years 1702 up

to 1714. Based on newly discovered archival information, Shchukina study idicates that in 1712 Müller received the Peter I order for a series of medals to commemorate Peter I mainly military exploits beginning with the year 1702 up to the order date. It was later extended to include year 1714 (the Gangut victory). Shchukina study further shows that all dies were manufactured in the 1713 — 1714 time peiod and delivered to Moscow in 1715, and in 1716 the actual manufacture of medals began. As already noted by Negelein and now confirmed by the Shchukina study, with the exception of one, all bore initial M on the sleeve (see table below). The question arises — was M the usual mark, among other markings that Müller used, or was there another die maker behind this monogram? To answer this question, based on information in Forster reference and Shchukina study, I constructed the table which traces the Müller production of medals between an arbitrary chosen year 1702 to the year of Müller's death and slightly beyond.

Table of Medals with M, P.H.M. and Star for the Calendar Years 1702 to 1718.

Sources: Shchukina, Forster

Calendar	Year on	Event	Medalist	Medalist	Medalist	Medalist	Source	Forster
Year.	Medal		M *	P.H.M.	*	C.E. Müller		Nr.
1702	1702	On taking Ulm		V			Forster	713
1703	1703	On taking 14 cities		✓			Forster	715
1703	1703	On Herzor Ferdin.		✓			Forster	716
1703	1703	On landing in Sp.		✓			Forster	717
1703	1703	Same. Av. Hautsch		✓			Forster	718
1703	1703	Same. w/o G H		✓			Forster	719
1704	1704	Battle at Höchstädt.			✓		Forster	722
1704	1704	On taking Landau		\			Forster	724
1704	1704	Same.		✓			Forster	725
1704	1704	On taking Bergschl.		✓			Forster	726
1704	1704	On vict. Max Eman.		✓			Forster	727
1705	1705	On taking Gibraltar		✓			Forster	729
1705	1705	On Emp. Joseph I		✓			Forster	732
1705	1705	Same			/		Forster	733
1705	1705	Swear in Emp. Jos. I			✓		Forster	734
1705	1705	Taking of Barcelona		✓			Forster	735
1705	1705	On elevating baron		✓			Forster	736
1706	1706	On raising the siege		✓			Forster	737
1706	1706	Subjugating Madrid		/			Forster	738
1706	1706	On vict. of Prince E		✓			Forster	740
1706	1706	On vict. of Marlboro			✓		Forster	741
1706	1706	Peace of Altrandst.		✓			Forster	742
1707	1707	On changing comm.		/			Forster	745
1708	1708	On bringing herzog		✓			Forster	746
1708	1708	On reliog. freedom			✓		Forster	747
1708	1708	Occup. of Ryssel		✓			Forster	749
1709	1709	Peace negotiation		/			Forster	750
1709	1709	Occup. of Tournay		✓			Forster	751
1709	1709	Same		✓			Forster	752
1709	1709	In hon. of margrave		✓			Forster	755
1709	1709	Death of Frederika		1			Forster	756
1709	1709	On Franz Anton		P.H. Miller			Forster	757
1709	1709	Same		P.H. Miller			Forster	758
1709	1709	Same		P.H. Miller			Forster	759
1710	1710	Entering Madrid		✓			Forster	761
1710	1710	Swed. vict. at Helsin		1			Forster	764
1711	1711	Elect. of Carl VI		✓			Forster	771
1711	1711	Same		✓			Forster	772
1711	1711	Crowning of Carl VI			/		Forster	775
1717	1711	Same	1				Forster	776
1711	1711	On English unity		/			Forster	777
1711	1711	In hon. of Lothar Fr.		✓			Forster	778

1711	1711	In hon of Franz Ant.		/		Forster	779
1712		On Emp. Carl VI		/		Forster	780
1712		Same		/		Forster	781
1712		On pope Pius V		/		Forster	782
1712		On archb. of Mainz		/		Forster	783
1712	1712	On birth of heir			/	Forster	784
1/12	1712	on onth or non				Poisici	704
1714	1702	Schlüsselburg	1			Shchuk.	
1714	1703	Nyenschanz	/			Shchuk.	720
?	1703	Safe Navig.	/			Forster	721
1713	1703	St. Petersburg	/			Shchuk.	
1713	1704	Cronschloss			/	Shchuk.	730
1714	1704	Dorpat	/			Shchuk.	750
1713	1704	Narva city view	/			Shchuk.	
1714	1704	Narva w. map	/			Shehuk.	
1714	1704	Mitau	/			Shchuk.	
1713	1708	Leesno	/			Shchuk.	
1713	1709	Poltava Battle		1		Shchuk.	
1713	1709	Capt. of Löven.	/			Shchuk.	
			/				
1714 1714	1710	Elbing	/			Shchuk.	
	1710	Vyborg	/			Shchuk.	7//
1713	1710	Riga	V			Shchuk.	766
1713	1710	Dünamünde				Shchuk.	767
1714	1710	Pernau	/			Shchuk.	
1714	1710	Kexholm	1			Shchuk.	768
1714	1710	Arensburg	1			Shchuk.	
1713	1710	Reval	1			Shchuk.	765
1713	1710	Liefland Con.	√			Shchuk.	
1714	1710	Succeses 1710	1			Shchuk.	
1714	1714	Gangut	_			Shchuk.	
1714	1714	Peace of Rastatt		/		P	705
1714	1714	Same			1	Forster	785
1714		Return of Carl XII		/	•	Forster	786
1714	1714	Return of Max Em.		v		Forster	793
1715	1715				*	Forster	794
1715	1715	Ludw. Rudol. entry				Forster	795
1715	1715	On King Georg I			1	Forster	796
1716	1716	Vict. at Peterward.			\	Forster	797
1716	1716	Max Eman. of Bavar	,		/	Forster.	799
1716	1716	On count v. Schulen.	1			Forster	800
1716	1716	Same	\			Forster	801
1717	1717	French hate of Germ	V			Forster	802
1717	1717	On taking Belgrade	V			Forster	803
1717	1717	Same	\			Forster	804
1717	1717	Second Rerorm. Jub	/			Forster	805
1717	1717	Same			✓	Forster	806
1717	1717	Same	/			Forster	807
1717	1717	Same		1		Forster	808
1717	1717	Same	/			Forster	809
1717	1717	Same Memingen			✓	Forster	810
1717	1717	Same Biberach			/	Forster	811
1717	1717	Same Ulm			/	Forster	812
1717	1717	Same Heilbronn	/			Forster	813
1717	1717	Same Esslingen			/	Forster	814
1717	1717	Same Austria			✓	Forster	815
1717	1717	Same Ludwig			1	Forster	816
1717	1717	Peace of Passarow.			/	Forster	817
1718	1718	Same	1			Forster	818
1710	1710					Torsier	010

1718	1718	Same		/		Forster	819
1718	1718	Same	✓			Forster	820
1718	1718	Repressing disorde		1		Forster	821
1718	1718	On see battle at Cap		/		Forster	822
1718	1718	On baron v. Plenken	✓			Forster	823
1722	1722	Auf Karl Albert und			CM	Forster	1117
1729	1729	Abtei Kempen			✓	Forster	1118

Judging from Forster work, up to 1712 P. H. Müller produced something of the order between 10 and 15 medals annually many of which were marked with his initials P.H.M. and a few with a star (*) and some apparantly were also unmarked. As mentioned above, through the Russian intermediary Ornance General J. Brüce, in 1712 Müller received from Peter I himself an order of over two dozen medals on various themes of then current Russian history and for the next two years 1713-1714 Forster lists no medals of any sort to be marked with previously common medalist's initials P.H.M. or the star (*). But in 1714 production reaches again its normal or near normal level. Since Moscow received its delivery in late 1715, it would be hardly possible for one man to fill the order of an extra ca two dozen dies with special rings and inscriptions on top of the normal busines load. While accepting the Peter I order Müller must have already had a year's or two of work on the books so to take care of the new customer he must have needed help. And the logical choice was his younger son Christian Ernst, whom he trained and who produced his first medal the year before in 1711 as shown on table above. It probably was nothing fancy, but a somewhat altered copy of a similar medal Forster Nr. 775. Thus we see Müller's medals factory manufacturing Russian medal dies during the years 1713 and 1714 all of which are marked with M — a clear novelty for the Müller business.

As can be seen from the table, P.H.M. initials dominate the work produced between 1702 (here chosen quite arbitrarily) and 1712 (right before the Russian order). On dies manufactured during the 1713-1714 peiod, as shown by the Shchukina data, only medal dies or actually medals with M on Av. were manufactured, with the exception of 1709, which bore full P.H.M. father's initials. Both father and son must have been busy during this period and due to the fact that only the face side bore medalist's initial, one may not get a complete picture as to the distribution of work and the authorship of reverse sides. Only two reverses are known bearing a star (*) normally attributed to father Müller. But in 1714 P.H.M. initials reappear again, Forster Nr. 785, but not in the same frequency. And two years later in 1716 with Forster Nr. 800 we again see M on medals. This trend will now continue up to his father's death. As an aberation P.H.M. reapeared only once in 1718 never to be seen again, Forster Nr 820, a year before his death. One can only speculate why there are no P.H.M. medals between 1716 and 1718, although, if I identified them correctly, those marked with a star (*) did reapeare again after a few years of interruption, suggesting that Müller the father may have been also quite busy. So, steadily as before, the Müller business appears to have continued up to father's death in 1719. But did his son continue in his father's business? No strong assertions could be made by examing the obove table which shows only the years 1728 and 1729 as the last entries for work signed with CM or C. E. Müller.

So, who created the whole so called Russian war with Sweden Müller medals series? Based on above table I believe that due to the urgency of this order (numerous events for which medals were requested were already almost a decade old!) father and son collaborated together and completed over two dozen dies in less than two years. Normality in Müller business was restored after this order was delivered in 1715 and we see father and son working on new projects the largest of which was the Jubelee of the Augsburg confession, for which separate medals for various cities or communities were necessary.

A further reinforsement as to the identity behind initial M comes from the latest 1997 German publication of the P.H. Müller biography which on the basis of archival documents kept by the city of Augsburg, clearly states that his younger son Christian Ernst used to sign his work with M, C.M. or C.E.M. (and C.H. Müller — see above table for the year 1729).

Conclusions and opinions expressed in this cabinet study are not offered as the last word on the Müller partnership in creating the Russian series of medals, but perhapt could serve as a subject for further discussion and research.

NOTE: Calendar year for creation of Russian medal dies was taken directly from the Shchukina catalog of Müller medals in the Hermitage page 21 citing dates on Müller bills submitted to the Russian governement while the year indicated by Forster is considered to be close or equal to the same as the calendar year (i.e., the year of their creation or issue)..

LITERATURE.

Ammon, J., Sammlung berühmter Medailleurs und Münzmeister nebst ihren Zeichen. Nürnber 1778.

Bolzenthal, H., Skizzen zur Kunstgeschichte der modernen Medaillen-Arbeit (1429-1840). Berlin 1840, S. 222-223. / Sketches on the History of Art of modern Medal designs (1429-1840) /. Unter seiner Leitung hat sein Sohn Chr. Ernst Müller mit Medaille an Stadtpflegers Paul von Stetten, geholfen. Siehe Forster S. 43 mit M und C E M bezeichneten, Nrn. 303 und 304, ohne Jahrzahl.

Forster, A. von, — Die Erzeugnisse der Stempelschneidekunst in Augsburg und Ph. H. Müller's nach meiner Sammlung beschrieben und die Augsburger Stadtmünzen, Kommissionsverlag von Karl. W. Hiersemann, Leipzig 1910 mit Nachtrag 1914.

Bernheimer, Francisca, — Georg Wilhelm Vestner und Andreas Vestner. Zwei Nürnberger Medailleure. Dissertation. München 1984.

Щукина, Е. С., — Серия медалей Ф. Г. Мюллера на события Северной Войны в собрании Эрмитажа. Госудрственный Эрмитажа. Санкт-Петербург 2006.

Щукина, Е. С., — Два века русской медали. Медальерное искусство в России 1700—1917 гг. Государствений Эрмитаж. Москва 2000. / Shchukina, E. S., Two centuries of Russian Medal. Medallic art in Russia 1700—1917. State Hermitage. Moscow 2000.

Forrer, L., — Biographical Dictionary of Medalists... vol. III. London 1907, "Caspar Gottlieb Lauffer", pp 326-331.

same, vol. IV, London 1909, "Müller, P. H.", pp 196 -205. Page 197: "... Ph. H. Müller's medals, which are usually signed: P.H.M. or marked with a Star; those signed with an M. only are supposed to have been executed in collaboration with some of his sons...". This is not brought out in any Russian numismatic publication I know, including A.A. Stakhovich, who made it vertually his life's work in collecting and studying Müller's work. Forrer further states that Müller for a longer time was employed in Nürnberg by Lauffer; this assertion also found its way into the Shchukina work. However, F. Brenheimer above, quoting Schlickeysen und Pallman, «Erklärung der Abkürzungen auf Münzen...», Berlin 1896, S. 379, states that Müller visited Nürnberg only for a short time. This is also brought out in Müller's latest biography by G. Werner, see below, that he spent, hardly any time outside of Augsburg.

Стаховичъ, А. А., — Комментаріи къ труду Ю. Б. Иверсена «Медали на дъянія Императора Петра Великаго». СПБ 1872 года. Парижъ 1958. / Stakhovich, А. А., — Commentaries on the work of Iu. B. Iversen «Medals on the deeds of Peter the Great», SPb. 1872. Paris 1958.

Веселовскій, Н. И., — Исторія Императорскаго Русскаго Археологическаго Общества... 1846-1896. СПБ 1900, стр. 197.

Werner, Gerlind, — "Müller, Philipp Heinrch", in Neue Deutsche Biographie 18 (1997), S. 468 f. [Onlinefassung]; URL: http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd121166546.html

ADDENDUM: Medals with "M" and "P.H.M." in Reichel's Collection. St. Petersburg 1842.

Nr. 921. 1702. Auf Eroberung von Noteburtg/Schlüsselburg Brustbild im Harnisch und Hermelinmantel, auf der Brust ein Medusenkopf. Am Arm: M.

Nr. 941. 1703. Auf Eroberung von Neuen-Schanz an der Newa. Brustbild wie auf Nr. 921. (i.e.:M).

Nr. 946. 1703. Auf Gründung von SPB. Auf dem Abschnitt des hervorgestreckten Arme: M.

Nr. 949. 1703. Auf die sichere Schiffahrt. Brustbild wie Nr. 946. (i.e.: M).

Nr. 968. 1704. Auf Eroberung von Dorpat. Brustbild wie Nr. 921. (i.e. M).

Nr. 971. 1704. Auf die Erstürmung der Stadt Narwa. Brustbild wie 921. (i.e. M).

Nr. 1094. 1708. Auf den Sieg bei Leesno. Brustbild wie 921. (i.e. M).

Nr. 1112. 1709. Auf den Sieg bei Poltawa. P.H.M.

Nr. 1132. 1710. Auf Eroberung von Wiburg. Brustbild wie 921. (i.e. M).

Nr. 1135. 1710. Auf Eroberung von Reval. Brustbild wie 921. (i.e. M).

Nr. 1138. 1710. Auf Eroberung Riga. Brustbild wie 1094 (921 var.) (i.e. M).

Nr. 1140. 1710. Auf Eroberung von Dünamünde. Brustbild wie auf 921. (i.e. M).

Nr. 1150. 1710. Auf Eroberung Lieflands. Brustbild wie 921. (i.e. M)

MISCELEANAE.

Unbekannte Ch. L. Müller Medaille auf Lroberung von Xiga, 1710.
Unlifted Ch. E. Müller Medal for Capturing Riga, 1710.
Неизвъстная медаль Xp. Э. Мюллера за взятіе Риги, 1710.





H Is Czarift Majefty, on whose orders also this medal was ftruck, is shown on Avers in harness and with laurel wreath on his head and with his name and title as follows: PETRVS ALEXII FILius Dei Gratia RVSSorum IMPerator Magnus DVX MOSCOVIÆ. Peter Alexievitch by the Grace of God Czar of Russians and Grand Duke in Moscow.

The Revers depicts befieged and with bombs threatened Riga. The legend above is made up of a chronogramm which reads: RIGA REDIT RVS-SIS APTO CERTAMINE CASTRIS. Its content fhows, that the Mofcovite people fucceeded in bringing the city back, after cutting it off. Although, fince Riga was never under Mofcow's domination, the choice of the word REDIT is not fuitable here. Below one reads: CAPTA DIE VIII. JVLII. S. V. Captured 8 July according to the old calendar.

Его Царское Величество, по чьему приказу сія медалія выбита была, представленъ на лицевой сторонѣ въ бронѣ и съ лавровымъ вѣнкомъ на головѣ. Имя и титулъ Ихъ гласитъ: ПЕТРЪ АЛЕЗІЕВИЧЪ ЦАРЬ И ВЕЛИКІЙ КНЯЗЬ МОСКОВ-СКІЙ.

Оборотная сторона представляетъ окруженную Ригу устрашаемую бомбами. Поверху надпись состоящая изъхронограммы: RIGA REDIT RVSSIS APTO CERTAMINE CASTRIS. Ея содержаніе показываетъ, что московскому народу, отрѣзавъ городъ, удалось возвратить Ригу обратно. Поскольку Рига никогда не пребывала подъ московскимъ управленіемъ, выборъ слова REDIT неудаченъ. Внизу читаемъ: CAPTA DIE VIII. JVLII. S. V. Взята 8 Іюля по старому стилю.

Fre Czaarische Majestät, auf deren Beschfehl auch diese Medaille geprägt, geharmischt, und mit einem Lorbeer skranz auf dem Haupt, zeigen sich auf dem Avers, mit Nahmen und Litul, wie folget: PETRVS ALEXII FILius Dei Gratia RVSSorum IMPerator Magnus DVX MOSCOVIÆ. Deter Alexiewin/von Hottes Gnaden Czaar der Russen/Groß Jürst in Moscau.

Der Revers stellet das belagerte, und mit Bomben geängstigte Riga vor Augen. Die Uberschrifft bestehet aus einem Chronosticho dieses Lauts: RIGA REDIT RVssIs APTO CERTAMINE CASTRIS. Der Inhalt zeiget an, daß es den Moscotvitischen Bölkern/nachdem sie die Stadt bisher eingeschlossen gehalten/gelungen/sie tvieder an sich zu bringen. Wiewol, da Riga niemalen unter Moscowitischer Botmäßigsteit gewesen, das Wort REDIT nicht zuschicklich angebracht zu senn scheinet. Unten liesset man: CAPTA DIE vin. Juli. S. V. Eingenommen den 8. Julii/nach dem alten Calender-Stylo.

Metall: Silber. Gewicht/Weight: ca 60 gr. Durchmeffer/Dia: 46 mm

> V. Arefiev, Philadelphia, P.A. Mai 2012.

Sweden against Denmark, Poland and Russia in the Northern War 1700-1710 as documented by Medals in Negelein's Thesaurus 1711-1720.
Швеція противъ Даніи, Польши и Россіи въ Съверной Войнъ 1700-1710 гг. представленная въ медаляхъ опубликованныхъ въ Thesaures-ъ Негеляйна 1711-1720.

Для шведскаго короля Карла XII годъ 1700 былъ началомъ долголътнихъ военныхъ дъйствій противъ Даніи придя на помощь своему тестю Гольштинскому герцогу Фридриху при осадъ Тённингена и окончился побъдой надъ Петромъ I или освобожденіемъ Нарвы. Эти дъйствія дали начало большой серіи медалей гдъ возхваляется побъда шведскаго оружиія надъ тремя врагами: Даніей, Польшей и Россіей.

For Sweden's Charles XII, by coming to the aid of his father-in- law Prince Frederick of Holstein at the siege of Tönningen, the year 1700 was the beginning of a long series of military engagements against Denmark and ended with the defeat of Peter I and liberation of Narva. This was the start of a large series of medals which glorified the Swedish victories over Denmark, Poland and Russia.

The Negelein work offers a unique possibility to present a chronological panorama of commemorative and award medals issued early in the XVIIIth cent. by two major warring sides — Sweden and Russia. What is especially valuable particularly for Russian numismatics, is the chronology and freshness of the

material at the time of publication. Negelein captures in word and picture the events which historians and medalist just began to document by glorifying the victors and admonishing the vanquished. The arena is large — from Denmark in the West to Finland in the North, to Russia in the East and Turkey in the South with Poland and Germany in-between. And it all was centered around control of the Baltic region. Russia, virtually landlocked at that time except in far North, was looking for a window to the world with political and commercial objectives. Sweden, on the other hand, which controlled the entire Baltic region, was not about to relinquish its dominance. But things began to happen. Peter I believed it was critical for Russia to gain control of Narva and in October 1700 he made a move and under his command laid siege of the city with its nearby former XVth cent Russian fortress Ivangorod. Carl XII who just rescued his father in law Duke Friedrich of Holstein at a battle of Tönningen in Denmark, hurried with 15000 troops to Liefland to rescue Narva. For weeks the city with 6000 strong garrison was surrounded by 80000 Russian troops of which 14000 was cavalry. It was mercilessly bombarded, but to no avail. The citizenry and the garrison threw off all attacks. As Carl XII arrived, in less than two days the Russian positions were overrun, thousands killed



Peter I of Russia Frider. Aug. of Pol. & Saxony
Charles XII of Sweden
Frider. IV of Denm. & Norv. Frider. Wilh. of Prussia

or taken prisoners among whom was practically the whole Russian high command, which consisted primarily of foreign nationals. By leaving the Russian encampment a day before the battle started, Peter I escaped the imprisonment and humiliation of losing to a numerically much smaller force. The Swedish victory of 20 Nov. 1700 thus became the starting point of a war which will last another 20 years.

Quickly the news of Swedish overwhelming victory over a culturally and technologically inferior enemy spread and medalists got to work as can be evidenced by the number of medals listed below for this year attributed to the Swedish side. Of the 52 total medals issued by both sides commemorating events for the next ten years as listed in Thesaurus, 16 alone were struck just for the Swedish victory of Nov. 1700 at Narva. As is farther shown by medals, the next three years Carl XII was busy trying to bring Poland and Prussia under control, while Russia made a successful run on a small island fortress in the North called Noteburg which she lost 90 years prior. To compensate his earlier losses in late 1702 Peter I issues a proclamation (Plaquat) offering foreign officers service in Russian military units on conditions equal to

those of other European potentates. Concurrently during 1703 and 1704 he builds his future capital and a naval fortress to defend it, Nrs. 20 and 22. From this time on he starts making noticeable gains in Liefland and regains Narva but again only with an overwhelming force. Smaller scale engagements would take place in the next four years with mixed results up to October 1708. Here at Leesno, because Carl XII was impatient and did not wait arrival of his reinforcements, was Peter I first victory over the regular Swedish army. This was a prelude to the summer of 1709, when Carl XII, ill prepared, with overstretched supply lines and probably with some overconfidence as to capabilities of his new Ukrainian allies, engages the Russian forces at Poltava and suffers another devastating defeat. Only good luck prevented him from being captured as the medals marked in red indicate. The last two medals in green commemorate non-combative life of Charles XII waiting for an opportune moments to return back to Sweden.

Although in the past Russian czars, in case of military victories, would reward their soldiers and officers with specially made gold coins struck for distribution using common coin dies or just plain foreign ducats, here we see an emergence of a specially made award for a given engagement. However, Swedes were the first to issue such in 1700 for valor at Narva to her officers in silver and gold, see medal 8) above, followed two years later by Russia, medal 17). But the largest number of awards in silver for wear were issued for Poltava victory to soldiers, which to this day are treasured collector's item. (Note: See also the art of engraving that medal over that century under Tables below).

From his prior trips in Europe Peter I learned the political value of medals and around in 1712 or so through General Field-Marshal count Jacob Brüce, then his trusted friend on a business trip in Europe, commissioned one of the more famous German medalists to prepare dies for his victories (see a note on Müller medals below). Being, among many things, himself also an artist and poet, Negelein undoubtedly knew Ph. H. Müller personally, who provided him with copies of his work commissioned by Peter I. Thus beginning with the 1716 tenth issue of Thesaurus we see the first medal signed by P. H. Müller, page 905, while all the other that were struck bore only one initial letter M and as such not attributed by Negelein to P. H. Müller, but simply stated as bearing with an initial letter M. These appeared in issues 10, 11 for the years 1716 and 1717 and then again in the first issue for the next decade or issue 12 in 1720.

Font, Normal: Military action — struck on orders of the Swedish court in Sweden or by free-lancers in Germany. Bold: Military action — struck on orders of Peter I in Russia or in Germany and by free-lancers in Germany. Italic: General Russian or Swedish non-combative commemorations.

```
1700.
1) On Sweden's victory over Russians at Narva 1700, p. 58, Iversen -
                                                        p. 59, Iversen -
2)
                                                         p. 60a, Iversen -
3)
4)
                                                         p. 60b, Iversen
                                 "
                                                         p. 61a, Iversen
5)
6)
                                                         p. 61b, Iversen
7)
                                                         p. 62a, Iversen
                                                     "
8)
                                                        p. 62b, Iversen — Award medal for wear in silver and gold for officers.
9)
                                                         p. 62-63, Iversen -
10)
                                     Danes and Poles 1700, p. 63a, Iversen -
                                     Danes and Poles 1700, p. 63b, Iversen
11)
12)
                                     Danes and Poles 1700, p. 64, Iversen -
                                     Danes and Poles 1700, p. 65, Iversen
14) On Löwenhaupt's victories in Holstein, Zeeland and Narva 1700, p. 1004, Iversen -
15) In memory of General Kanut Löwenhaupt killed in the battle at Narva 1700, p.1005, Iversen -
16) On Swedish victory over Poles at Riga 1701, p. 126. Inscription on obv.: DES GROSSEN CAROLS KÆMPFEN KAN RVSS V
  SACHSEN DAEMPFEN. Iversen —. /The fights of great Carl can get Russians and Saxons depressed (or steamed up).
  1702.
17) On capture of Noteburg/Schlüßelburg, 1702, p. 204, Iversen XIII. Silver award medal.
  1703.
18) On Swedish victories in Polish Prussia 1703, p. 302, Iversen -
                                              p. 304, Iversen
20) On construction of fortress Petersburg 1703, p. 1035, Iversen XVI. Unmarked Müller medal.
  1704.
21) On capture of the Narva fortress 1704, p. 1043, Iversen XIX. 2).
22) On construction of Cronschloß, 1704, p. 1046, Iversen XVII. Unmarked Müller medal.
23) On recapturing of Liefland 1704, p. 383, Iversen XX.
24) On capture of Narva, 1704, p. 384, Iversen XIX. 4).
  1705.
25) On Carl's XII victory at Gemäurthoff in Kurland 1705, p.1053, Iversen -..
26) On Carl XII Herculean deeds, 1706. p. 633.
```

27) On numerous fortunate engagements, 1706. p. 635.

28-29) On victories over Poles and Russians, 1706, p. 639-640, Iversen — . Two medals of Carl XII. in Polish dress.. On rev. two columns being destroyed by a lion, one of which symbolizes Russia.

30) On Peter I victory at unknown location 1706, p. 650, ill.; like Iversen XXII 1), for Kalish, but without inscription on rev. A

31) On Carl II victory at Holoffzin (near Mohilev) 1708, p. 800. Iversen — . That was his last victory over Russian forces.

- 32) On the battle at Leesno 1708, p. 818, without edge inscription Iversen XXIII. 6). By Müller, but without his markings. 1709
- 33) On the battle near Poltava 1709, p. 905, Iversen XXIV, 3). P.H.M. Struck on Peter I order.
- " 906, Iversen XXIV, 4).
- 35) " " 907, Iversen XXIV. 7)
- 36) " " 908, Iversen XXIV. 2). A silver award medal for soldiers.
- " 908, Iversen XXIII, 6).
- 38) " capture of General count Löwenhaupt 1709, p. 909 ill. No m. n. Iversen XXV. With M.
- 39) "Carl XII stay and his rest in Bender 1709, p. 960, Iversen
- ", p. 961, Iversen —, ", p. 961, Iversen —,
- 42) " " Herculean deeds and bringing liberty, 1709, p. 961, Iversen –
- 43) On Czar Peter's piety and courage p. 962, Iversen XXIV, 8); by Hautsch.
- 1) On capture of Elbingen 1710, p. 8, letter M. Iversen XXVII; by Müller.
- 2) On capture of Riga 1710, p. 44, letter M. Iversen XXIX 1); by Müller.
- 3) On same event 1710, p. 44, Iversen XXIX 2); by an anonymous medalist.
- 4) On capture of Dünamünde fortress 1710, p. 45, letter M. Iversen XXX; by Müller.
- 5) On capture of Kexholm 1710, p. 52, letter M, Iversen XXXIII; by Müller.
- 6) On capture of Reval and its Fortress 1710, p. 55. Error: Reverse instead of Avers. Letter M implied. Iversen XXXIV; by Müller.
- 7) On conquests in Finland and Lievland 1710, p. 56. Letter M implied. Iversen XXXV; by Müller.
- 8) On conquests in the year 1710, p. 57. Letter M implied. Iversen XXXVI; by Müller.
- 9) On a fortunate rescue during Carl XII flight South to Bender 1709-1710, p. 69, C. Wermuth. Iversen -...
- 10) Carl XII sojourn in Bender 170X, p. 70.

References.

Negelein, J., Thesaurus Numisamatum... Nürnberg 1717 and 1720.

Iversen, J., Medaillen auf die Thaten Peter des Grossen. St. Petersburg 1872.

The medal that commemorates the very first actions of the warring sides in that war is not listed in Negelein, but is briefly described and shown in the Gröning's medals reference, here on Table I. It covers three events — victory at Tönningen in Holstein and liberation of Narva and Riga in Liefland, all in the same year 1700 and is shown here on the cover.

